arXiv:1509.00891v1 [math.AP] 2 Sep 2015

LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR THE BÉNARD CONVECTION WITHOUT SURFACE TENSION

YUNRUI ZHENG

Abstract. We consider the Bénard convection in a three-dimensional domain bounded below by a fixed flatten boundary and above by a free moving surface. The domain is horizontally periodic. The fluid dynamics are governed by the Boussinesq approximation and the effect of surface tension is neglected on the free surface. Here we develop a local well-posedness theory for the equations of general case in the framework of the nonlinear energy method.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Formulation of the problem.** In this paper, we consider the Bénard convection in a shallow horizontal layer of a fluid heated from below evolving in a moving domain

$$\Omega(t) = \{y \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \mid -1 < y_3 < \eta(y_1, y_2, t)\}.$$

Here we assume that $\Sigma = (L_1\mathbb{T}) \times (L_2\mathbb{T})$ for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ the usual 1-torus and L_1, $L_2 > 0$ the periodicity lengths. Assuming the Boussinesq approximation [4], we obtain the basic hydrodynamic equations governing Bénard convection as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \frac{1}{\rho_0}\nabla p &= \nu\Delta u + g\alpha\theta\mathbf{e}_{y_3}, \quad \text{in } \Omega(t), \\ \partial_t\theta + u \cdot \nabla\theta &= \kappa\Delta\theta, \quad \text{in } \Omega(t), \\ u\,|_{t=0} &= u_0(y_1, y_2, y_3), \quad \theta\,|_{t=0} = \theta_0(y_1, y_2, y_3), \end{aligned}$$

Here, $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is the velocity field of the fluid satisfying $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, p the pressure, $g > 0$ the strength of gravity, $\nu > 0$ the kinematic viscosity, α the thermal expansion coefficient, $e_{y_3} = (0, 0, 1)$ the unit upward vector, θ the temperature field of the fluid, κ the thermal diffusively coefficient, and ρ_0 the density at the temperature T_0. Notice that, we have made the shift of actual pressure $\bar{p}$ by $p = \bar{p} + gy_3 - p_{atm}$ with the constant atmosphere pressure p_{atm}.

The boundary condition is

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t\eta - u' \cdot \nabla\eta + u_3 &= 0, \quad \text{on } \{y_3 = \eta(t, y_1, y_2)\}, \\ (pI - \nu\mathbb{D}(u))n &= g\eta n + \sigma H n + (\mathbf{t} \cdot \nabla)\sigma\mathbf{t}, \quad \text{on } \{y_3 = \eta(t, y_1, y_2)\}, \\ n \cdot \nabla\theta + Bi\theta &= -1, \quad \text{on } \{y_3 = \eta(t, y_1, y_2)\}, \\ u\,|_{y_3=-1} &= 0, \quad \theta\,|_{y_3=-1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Here, $u' = (u_1, u_2)$, I the 3×3 identity matrix, $\mathbb{D}(u)_{ij} = \partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i$ the symmetric gradient of u, $\mathscr{N}$ the upward normal vector of the free surface $\{y_3 = \eta\}$, $n = \mathscr{N}/|\mathscr{N}|$ the unit upward normal vector of the free surface $\{y_3 = \eta\}$ where $\mathscr{N} = (-\partial_1\eta, -\partial_2\eta, 1)$ is the upward normal vector of the free surface $\{y_3 = \eta\}$ and

---

Key words and phrases. Bénard convection, Boussinesq apporoximation, energy method.

$|\mathscr{N}| = \sqrt{(\partial_1\eta)^2 + (\partial_2\eta)^2 + 1}$, $\mathbf{t}$ the unit tangential vector of the free surface, $Bi \geq 0$ the Biot number and H the mean curvature of the free surface. For simplicity, we only consider the case without surface tension in this paper, i.e. $\sigma = 0$.

We will always assume the natural condition that there exists a positive number δ_0 such that $1 + \eta_0 \geq \delta_0 > 0$ on Σ, which means that the initial free surface is strictly separated from the bottom. And without loss of generality, we may assume that $\rho_0 = \mu = \kappa = \alpha = g = Bi = 1$. That is, we will consider the equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p - \Delta u - \theta e_{y_3} = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega(t), \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega(t), \\ \partial_t \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta - \Delta \theta = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega(t), \\ (pI - \mathbb{D}u)n = \eta n & \text{on} \quad \{y_3 = \eta(t, y_1, y_2)\}, \\ \nabla \theta \cdot n + \theta = -1 & \text{on} \quad \{y_3 = \eta(t, y_1, y_2)\}, \\ u = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & \text{on} \quad \{y_3 = -1\}, \\ u\,|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad \theta\,|_{t=0} = \theta_0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega(0), \\ \partial_t \eta + u_1 \partial_1 \eta + u_2 \partial_2 \eta_2 = u_3 & \text{on} \quad \{y_3 = \eta(t, y_1, y_2)\}, \\ \eta\,|_{t=0} = \eta_0 & \text{on} \quad \{y_3 = \eta(t, y_1, y_2)\}. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The discussion of fourth equation in (1.1) may be found in [14]. The eighth equation in (1.1) implies that the free surface is advected with the fluid.

1.2. **Previous results.** Traditionally, the Bénard convection problem has been studied in fixed upper boundary and in free boundary surface with surface tension.

For the problem with surface tension case, the existence and decay of global in time solutions of Bénard convection problem with free boundary surface was proved by T. Iohara, T. Nishida and Y. Teramoto in L^2 spaces. T. Iohara proved this in 2-D setting. T. Nishida and Y. Teramoto proved this in 3-D background. They all utilized the framework of [3] in the Lagrangian coordinates.

1.3. **Geometrical formulation.** In the absence of surface tension effect, we will solve this problem in Eulerian coordinates. First, we straighten the time dependent domain $\Omega(t)$ to a time independent domain Ω. The idea was introduced by J. T. Beale in section 5 of [3]. And in [6], [7] and [8], Y. Guo and I. Tice proved the local and global existence results for the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with a deformable surface using this idea. In [6], [7] and [8], Guo and Tice assume that the surface function η in some norms is small, which means η is a small perturbation for the plane $\{y_3 = 0\}$. In order to study the free boundary problem of the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with a general surface function η, L. Wu introduced the ε-Poisson integral method in [13]. In this paper, we will use the flattening transformation method introduced by L. Wu. We define $\bar{\eta}^\varepsilon$ by

$$\bar{\eta}^\varepsilon = \mathscr{P}^\varepsilon \eta = \text{ the parametrized harmonic extension of } \eta.$$

The definition of $\mathscr{P}^\varepsilon \eta$ can be seen in the section 1.3.1 of [13] for the periodic case. We introduce the mapping Φ^ε from Ω to $\Omega(t)$ as

$$\Phi^\varepsilon : (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3 + (1 + x_3)\bar{\eta}^\varepsilon) = (y_1, y_2, y_3), \tag{1.2}$$

and its Jacobian matrix

$$\nabla \Phi^{\varepsilon} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ A^{\varepsilon} & B^{\varepsilon} & J^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the transform matrix

$$\mathscr{A}^{\varepsilon} = ((\nabla \Phi^{\varepsilon})^{-1})^{\top} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -A^{\varepsilon} K^{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 1 & -B^{\varepsilon} K^{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 0 & K^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A^{\varepsilon} = (1+x_3)\partial_1 \bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon},\ B^{\varepsilon} = (1+x_3)\partial_2 \bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon},\ J^{\varepsilon} = 1 + \bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon} + (1+x_3)\partial_3 \bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon},\ K^{\varepsilon} = 1/J^{\varepsilon}. \quad (1.3)$$

According to Theorem 2.7 in [13] and the assumption that $1+\eta_0 > \delta_0 > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $J^{\varepsilon}(0) > \delta > 0$ for a sufficiently small ε depending on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}}$. This implies that $\Phi^{\varepsilon}(0)$ is a homomorphism. Furthermore, $\Phi^{\varepsilon}(0)$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism deduced from Lemma 2.5 and 2.6 in [13]. For simplicity, in the following, we just write $\bar{\eta}$ instead of $\bar{\eta}^{\varepsilon}$, while the same fashion applies to $\mathscr{A}$, Φ, A, B, J and K. Then, we define some transformed operators. The differential operators $\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}$, $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}$ are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} f)_i &= \mathscr{A}_{ij} \partial_j f, \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} u &= \mathscr{A}_{ij} \partial_j u_i, \\ \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} f &= \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} f. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetric $\mathscr{A}$-gradient $\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}$ is defined as $(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u)_{ij} = \mathscr{A}_{ik} \partial_k u_j + \mathscr{A}_{jk} \partial_k u_i$. And we write the stress tensor as $S_{\mathscr{A}}(p,u) = pI - \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u$, where I is the 3×3 identity matrix. Then we note that $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} S_{\mathscr{A}}(p,u) = \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} p - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} u$ for vector fields satisfying $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} u = 0$. We have also written $\mathscr{N} = (-\partial_1 \eta, -\partial_2 \eta, 1)$ for the nonunit normal to $\{y_3 = \eta(y_1, y_2, t)\}$. Then the original equations (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \partial_t \bar{\eta}(1+x_3) K \partial_3 u + u \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} u - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} u + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} p - \theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3 = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \cdot u = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \partial_t \theta - \partial_t \bar{\eta}(1+x_3) K \partial_3 \theta + u \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} \theta = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ (pI - \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u)\mathscr{N} = \eta \mathscr{N} & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \mathscr{N} + \theta \left|\mathscr{N}\right| = -\left|\mathscr{N}\right| & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ u = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b \\ u(x,0) = u_0, \quad \theta(x,0) = \theta_0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \partial_t \eta + u_1 \partial_1 \eta + u_2 \partial_2 \eta = u_3 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ \eta(x',0) = \eta_0(x') & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $e_3 = (0,0,1)$ and we can split the equation (1.4) into a equation governing Bénard convection and a transport equation, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \partial_t \bar{\eta}(1+x_3)K\partial_3 u + u\cdot\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} u - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} u + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} p - \theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3 = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\cdot u = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \partial_t \theta - \partial_t \bar{\eta}(1+x_3)K\partial_3 \theta + u\cdot\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}}\theta = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ (pI - \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u)\mathscr{N} = \eta\mathscr{N} & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\mathscr{N} + \theta\,|\mathscr{N}| = -\,|\mathscr{N}| & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ u = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b \\ u(x,0) = u_0, \quad \theta(x,0) = \theta_0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\eta + u_1\partial_1\eta + u_2\partial_2\eta = u_3 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ \eta(x',0) = \eta_0(x') & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \end{cases} \tag{1.6}$$

Clearly, all the quantities in these two above systems are related to η.

1.4. **Main theorem.** The main result of this paper is the local well-posedness of the Bénard convection. Before stating our result, we need to mention the issue of compatibility conditions for the initial data (u_0, θ_0, η_0). We will study for the regularity up to N temporal derivatives for $N \geq 2$ an integer. This requires us to use u_0, θ_0 and η_0 to construct the initial data $\partial_t^j u(0)$, $\partial_t^j \theta(0)$ and $\partial_t^j \eta(0)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$ and $\partial_t^j p(0)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$. These data must then satisfy various conditions, which we describe in detail in Section 5.1, so we will not state them here.

Now for stating our result, we need to explain the notation for spaces and norms. When we write $\|\partial_t^j u\|_{H^k}$, $\|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{H^k}$ and $\|\partial_t^j p\|_{H^k}$, we always mean that the space is $H^k(\Omega)$, and when we write $\|\partial_t^j \eta\|_{H^s}$, we always mean that the space is $H^s(\Sigma)$, where $H^k(\Omega)$ and $H^s(\Sigma)$ are usual Sobolev spaces for $k, s \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume that $\eta_0 + 1 \geq \delta > 0$, and that the initial data (u_0, θ_0, η_0) satisfies*

$$\mathscr{E}_0 := \|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{2N+1/2}}^2 < \infty,$$

as well as the N-th compatibility conditions (5.28)*. Then there exists a $0 < T_0 < 1$ such that for any $0 < T < T_0$, there exists a solution (u, p, θ, η) to* (1.4) *on the interval*

$[0,T]$ that achieves the initial data. The solution obeys the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_t^j u\|_{H^{2N-2j}}^2+\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^2H^{2N-2j+1}}^2\right)+\|\partial_t^{N+1}u\|_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*}\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_t^j p\|_{H^{2N-2j-1}}^2+\|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^2H^{2N-2j}}^2\right)\\
&\quad+\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{H^{2N-2j}}^2+\|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^2H^{2N-2j+1}}^2\right)+\|\partial_t^{N+1}\theta\|_{(\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*}\\
&\quad+\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\eta\|_{H^{2N+1/2}(\Sigma)}^2+\sum_{j=1}^{N}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_t^j\eta\|_{H^{2N-2j+3/2}}^2\right.\\
&\quad\left.+\sum_{j=2}^{N+1}\|\partial_t^j\eta\|_{L^2H^{2N-2j+5/2}}^2\right)\\
&\le C(\Omega_0,\delta)P(\mathscr{E}_0),
\end{aligned}
\tag{1.7}
$$

where $C(\Omega_0,\delta)>0$ depends on the initial domain Ω_0 and δ, $P(\cdot)$ is a polynomial satisfying $P(0)=0$, and the temporal norm L^2 is computed on $[0,T]$. The solution is unique among functions that achieve the initial data and for which the left-hand side of (1.7) *is finite. Moreover, η is such that the mapping $\Phi(\cdot,t)$ defined by* (1.2) *is a C^{2N-1} diffeomorphism for each $t\in[0,T]$.*

Remark 1.2. *The space $\mathscr{X}_T$ is defined in section* 2 *of* [6].

Remark 1.3. *Since the mapping $\Phi(\cdot,t)$ is a C^{2N-1} diffeomorphism, we may change coordinates to produce solutions to* (1.1).

1.5. **Notation and terminology.**

Now, we mention some definitions, notation and conventions that we will use throughout this paper.

1. Constants. The constant $C>0$ will denote a universal constant that only depend on the parameters of the problem, N and Ω, but does not depend on the data, etc. They are allowed to change from line to line. We will write $C=C(z)$ to indicate that the constant C depends on z. And we will write $a\lesssim b$ to mean that $a\le Cb$ for a universal constant $C>0$.

2. Polynomials. We will write $P(\cdot)$ to denote polynomials in one variable and they may change from one inequality or equality to another.

3. Norms. We will write H^k for $H^k(\Omega)$ for $k\ge 0$, and $H^s(\Sigma)$ with $s\in\mathbb{R}$ for usual Sobolev spaces. Typically, we will write $H^0=L^2$, With the exception to this is we will use $L^2([0,T];H^k)$ (or $L^2([0,T];H^s(\Sigma))$) to denote the space of temporal square–integrable functions with values in H^k (or $H^s(\Sigma)$).

   Sometimes we will write $\|\cdot\|_k$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{H^k(\Omega)}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{H^k(\Sigma)}$. We assume that functions have natural spaces. For example, the functions u, p, θ and $\bar\eta$ live on Ω, while η lives on Σ. So we may write $\|\cdot\|_{H^k}$ for the norms of u, p, θ and $\bar\eta$ in Ω, and $\|\cdot\|_{H^s}$ for norms of η on Σ.

1.6. **Plan of the paper.** In section 2, we develop the machinery of time–dependent function spaces based on [6]. In section 3, we make some elliptic estimates for the linear steady equations of (1.8). In section 4, we will study the local existence theory of the following linear problem for (u, p, θ), where we think of η (and hence $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{N}$, etc.) is given:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} u + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} p - \theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3 = F^1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \cdot u = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \partial_t \theta - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} \theta = F^3 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ (pI - \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u)\mathscr{N} = F^4 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \mathscr{N} + \theta \left|\mathscr{N}\right| = F^5 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma, \\ u = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

subject to the initial condition $u(0) = u_0$ and $\theta(0) = \theta_0$, with the time-dependent Galerkin method. In section 5, we construct the initial data and do some estimates for the forcing terms. In section 6, we construct solutions to (1.4) using iteration and contraction, and complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. FUNCTIONAL SETTING

2.1. **Function spaces.** Throughout this paper, we utilize the functional spaces defined by Guo and Tice in section 2 of [6]. The only modification is the definition of space $\mathscr{H}^1(t)$. For the vector-valued space $\mathscr{H}^1(t)$, its definition is the same as [6]. The following is the definition for the scalar-valued space $\mathscr{H}^1(t)$.

$$\mathscr{H}^1(t) := \{\theta | \|\theta\|_{\mathscr{H}^1} < \infty, \theta|_{\Sigma_b} = 0\}$$

with the norm $\|\theta\|_{\mathscr{H}^1} := (\theta, \theta)^{1/2}_{\mathscr{H}^1}$, where the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathscr{H}^1}$ is defined as

$$(\theta, \phi)_{\mathscr{H}^1} := \int_\Omega \left(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}(t)} \theta \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}(t)} \phi\right) J(t).$$

The following lemma implies that this space $\mathscr{H}^1$ is equivalent to the usual Sobolev space H^1.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that* $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ *and* $\|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}(\Sigma)} < \varepsilon_0$. *Then it holds that*

$$\|\theta\|^2_{H^0} \lesssim \int_\Omega J|\theta|^2 \lesssim \left(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}(\Sigma)}\right) \|\theta\|^2_{H^0}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\frac{1}{\left(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}(\Sigma)}\right)^3} \|\theta\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)} \lesssim \int_\Omega J|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta|^2 \lesssim \left(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}(\Sigma)}\right)^3 \|\theta\|^2_{H^1(\Omega)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. From the Poincáre inequality, we know that $\|\theta\|_{H^1}$ is equivalent to $\|\nabla\theta\|_{H^0}$. So in the following, we will use $\|\theta\|_{H^1}$ instead of $\|\nabla\theta\|_{H^0}$.

From the assumption and the Sobolev inequalities, we may derive that

$$\delta \lesssim \|J\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 + \|\bar{\eta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\bar{\eta}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1 + \|\eta\|_{H^{5/2}} \lesssim 1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathscr{A}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \max\{1, \|AK\|^2_{L^\infty}, \|BK\|^2_{L^\infty}, \|K\|^2_{L^\infty}\} \\ &\lesssim 1 + (1 + \|\nabla\bar{\eta}\|^2_{L^\infty})\|K\|^2_{L^\infty} \lesssim (1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})^2 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.1) is clearly derived from the estimate of $\|J\|_{L^\infty}$ and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega J|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta|^2 &\lesssim (1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\int_\Omega |\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta|^2\\ &\lesssim (1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\max\{1,\|AK\|_{L^\infty}^2,\|BK\|_{L^\infty}^2,\|K\|_{L^\infty}^2\}\|\theta\|_{H^1}^2\\ &\lesssim (1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})^3\,\|\theta\|_{H^1}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now we have proved the second inequality of (2.2).

To prove the first inequality of (2.2), we rewrite the $\|\theta\|_{\mathscr{H}^1}$ as

$$\int_\Omega J|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta|^2 = \int_\Omega J|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta|^2 + \int_\Omega J(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta+\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta)\cdot(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta-\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta),$$

Here $\mathscr{A}_0$ is in terms of η_0. By the estimates of $\|J\|_{L^\infty}$, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega J|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta|^2 &\gtrsim \frac{1}{1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}}}\int_\Omega J_0|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta|^2\\ &= \frac{1}{1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}}}\int_{\Omega_0}|\nabla(\theta\circ\Phi(0))|^2\\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{(1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})^3}\|\theta\|_{H^1},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used the following Lemma 3.1, since $\Phi(0)$ is a diffeomorphism. Here J_0 is in terms of η_0. Then, using the estimates of $\|\mathscr{A}\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|J\|_{L^\infty}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega J(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta+\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta)\cdot(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta-\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta)\right| &\lesssim \|J\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathscr{A}+\mathscr{A}_0\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathscr{A}-\mathscr{A}_0\|_{L^\infty}\|\theta\|_{H^1}\\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_0\,(1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})^3\,\|\theta\|_{H^1}.\end{aligned}$$

Then taking ε_0 sufficiently small, we may derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega J|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta|^2 &\gtrsim \int_\Omega J|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta|^2 - \left|\int_\Omega J(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta+\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta)\cdot(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta-\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta)\right|\\ &\gtrsim \frac{1}{(1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})^3}\|\theta\|_{H^1}.\end{aligned}$$

This is the first inequality of (2.2). □

We define an operator $\mathcal{K}_t$ by $\mathcal{K}_t\theta = K(t)\theta$, where $K(t) := K$ is defined as (1.3). Clearly, $\mathcal{K}_t$ is invertible and $\mathcal{K}_t^{-1}\Theta = K(t)^{-1}\Theta = J(t)\Theta$, and $J(t) := J = 1/K$.

Proposition 2.2. *For each $t\in[0,T]$, $\mathcal{K}_t$ is a bounded linear isomorphism: from $H^k(\Omega)$ to $H^k(\Omega)$ for $k=0,1,2$; from $L^2(\Omega)$ to $\mathscr{H}^0(t)$; and from ${}_0H^1(\Omega)$ to $\mathscr{H}^1(t)$. In each case, the norms of the operators $\mathcal{K}_t$, $\mathcal{K}_t^{-1}$ are bounded by a polynomial $P(\|\eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}})$. The mapping $\mathcal{K}$ defined by $\mathcal{K}\theta(t) := \mathcal{K}_t\theta(t)$ is a bounded linear isomorphism: from $L^2([0,T];H^k(\Omega))$ to $L^2([0,T];H^k(\Omega))$ for $k=0,1,2$; from $L^2([0,T];H^0(\Omega))$ to $\mathscr{H}_T^0$ and from ${}_0H^1(\Omega)$ to $\mathscr{H}_T^1$. In each case, the operators $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ are bounded by the polynomial $P(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}})$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that for each $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\|\mathcal{K}_t\theta\|_{H^0} \lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_t\|_{C^0}\|\theta\|_{H^0} \lesssim P(\|\eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}})\|\theta\|_{H^0}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|\mathcal{K}_t\theta\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_t\|_{C^1}\|\theta\|_{H^1} \lesssim P(\|\eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}})\|\theta\|_{H^1}, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\|\mathcal{K}_t\theta\|_{H^2} \lesssim \|\mathcal{K}_t\|_{C^1}\|\theta\|_{H^2} + \|\mathcal{K}_t\|_{H^2}\|\theta\|_{C^0} \lesssim P(\|\eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}})\|\theta\|_{H^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

These inequalities imply that $\mathcal{K}_t$ is a bounded operator from H^k to H^k, for $k = 0, 1, 2$. Since $\mathcal{K}_t$ is invertible, we may have the estimate $\|\mathcal{K}_t^{-1}\Theta\|_{H^k} \lesssim P(\|\eta(t)\|_{H^{\frac{7}{2}}})\|\Theta\|_{H^k}$. Thus, $\mathcal{K}_t$ is an isomorphism of H^k to H^k, for $k = 0, 1, 2$. With this fact in hand, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{K}_t$ is an isomorphism of $L^2(\Omega)$ to $\mathscr{H}^0(t)$ and of ${}_0H^1(\Omega)$ to $\mathscr{H}^1(t)$.

The mapping properties of the operator $\mathcal{K}$ on space-time functions may be established in a similar manner. □

2.2. **Pressure as a Lagrange multiplier.**

The introduction of pressure function has been studied by Guo and Tice in section 2 of [6], of which the modification was given by L. Wu in section 2.2 of [13]. So we omit the details here.

3. ELLIPTIC ESTIMATES

3.1. **Preliminary.**

Before studying the linear problem (1.8), we need some elliptic estimates. In order to study the elliptic problem, we may transform the equations on the domain Ω into constant coefficient equations on the domain $\Omega' = \Phi(\Omega)$, where Φ is defined by (1.2). The following lemma shows that the mapping Φ is an isomorphism between $H^k(\Omega')$ and $H^k(\Omega)$. Here, the Sobolev spaces are either vector-valued or scalar-valued.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Psi : \Omega \to \Omega'$ be a C^1 diffeomorphism satisfying $\Psi \in H^{k+1}_{loc}$, $\nabla\Psi - I \in H^k(\Omega)$ and the Jacobi $J = \det(\nabla\Psi) > \delta > 0$ almost everywhere in Ω for an integer $k \geq 3$. If $v \in H^m(\Omega')$, then $v \circ \Psi \in H^m(\Omega)$ for $m = 0, 1, \ldots, k+1$, and*

$$\|v \circ \Psi\|_{H^m(\Omega)} \lesssim C\left(\|\nabla\Psi - I\|_{H^k(\Omega)}\right)\|v\|_{H^m(\Omega')},$$

where $C(\|\nabla\Psi - I\|_{H^k(\Omega)})$ is a constant depending on $\|\nabla\Psi - I\|_{H^k(\Omega)}$. Similarly, for $u \in H^m(\Omega)$, we have $u \circ \Psi^{-1} \in H^m(\Omega')$ for $m = 0, 1, \ldots, k+1$, and

$$\|u \circ \Psi^{-1}\|_{H^m(\Omega')} \lesssim C\left(\|\nabla\Psi - I\|_{H^k(\Omega)}\right)\|u\|_{H^m(\Omega)}.$$

Let $\Sigma' = \Psi(\Sigma)$ be the top boundary of Ω'. If $v \in H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')$ for $m = 1, \ldots, k-1$, then $v \circ \Psi \in H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$, and

$$\|v \circ \Psi\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)} \lesssim C\left(\|\nabla\Psi - I\|_{H^k(\Omega)}\right)\|v\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')}.$$

If $u \in H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ for $m = 1, \ldots, k-1$, then $u \circ \Psi^{-1} \in H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')$ and

$$\|u \circ \Psi^{-1}\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')} \lesssim C\left(\|\nabla\Psi - I\|_{H^k(\Omega)}\right)\|u\|_{H^{m-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}.$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is the same as Lemma 3.1 in [6], which has been proved by Y. Guo and I. Tice, so we omit the details here. □

3.2. **The $\mathscr{A}$-stationary convection problem.** In this section, we consider the stationary equations

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} S_{\mathscr{A}}(p,u) - \theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3 = F^1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} u = F^2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ -\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}\theta = F^3 & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ S_{\mathscr{A}}(p,u)\mathscr{N} = F^4 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\mathscr{N} + \theta\,|\mathscr{N}| = F^5 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ u=0, \quad \theta = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Before discussing the regularity for strong solution to (3.1), we need to define the weak solution of equation (3.1). Suppose $F^1 \in (\mathscr{H}^1)^*$, $F^2 \in H^0$, $F^3 \in (\mathscr{H}^1)^*$ $F^4 \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and $F^5 \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$, (u,p,θ) is called a weak solution of equation (3.1) if it satisfies $\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\cdot u = F^2$,

$$(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta, \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|\,,\phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)} = \left\langle F^3,\phi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)^*} + \left\langle F^5,\phi\right\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}u, \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (p,\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} - (\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}y_3,\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} = \left\langle F^1,\psi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)*} - \left\langle F^4,\psi\right\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}, \tag{3.3}$$

for any $\phi,\psi \in \mathscr{H}^1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose* $F^1 \in (\mathscr{H}^1)^*$, $F^2 \in \mathscr{H}^0$, $F^3 \in (\mathscr{H}^1)^*$, $F^4 \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ *and* $F^5 \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$. *Then there exists a unique weak solution* $(u,p,\theta) \in \mathscr{H}^1\times\mathscr{H}^0\times\mathscr{H}^1$ *to* (3.1).

Proof. For the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}^1$ with the inner product $(\theta,\phi) = (\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta,\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|\,,\phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)}$, we can define a linear functional $\ell \in (\mathscr{H}^1)^*$ by

$$\ell(\phi) = \left\langle F^3,\phi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)^*} + \left\langle H^5,\phi\right\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)},$$

for all $\phi\in\mathscr{H}^1$. Then by using the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique $\theta\in\mathscr{H}^1$ such that

$$(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta, \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|\,,\phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)} = \left\langle F^3,\phi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)^*} + \left\langle H^5,\phi\right\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)},$$

for all $\phi\in\mathscr{H}^1$.

By Lemma 2.6 in [6], there exists a $\bar{u}\in\mathscr{H}^1$ such that $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}\bar{u} = F^2$. Then, we may restrict our test function to $\psi\in\mathscr{X}$. A straight application of Riesz representation theorem to the Hilbert space $\mathscr{X}$ with inner product defined as $(u,\psi) = (\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}u,\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0}$ provides a unique $w\in\mathscr{X}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}w,\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\bar{u},\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}y_3,\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \left\langle F^1,\psi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)^*} - \left\langle F^4,\psi\right\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)} \tag{3.4}$$

for all $\psi\in\mathscr{X}$. Then we can find u satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}u,\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} - (\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}y_3,\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} = \left\langle F^1,\psi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)*} - \left\langle F^4,\psi\right\rangle_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}, \tag{3.5}$$

by $u = w+\bar{u}\in\mathscr{H}^1$, with $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} u = F^2$.

It is easily to be seen that u is unique. Suppose that there exists another $\tilde{u}$ still satisfies (3.5). Then we have $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}(u-\tilde{u})=0$, and $(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}(u-\tilde{u}),\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0}=0$ for any $\psi\in\mathscr{X}$. By taking $\psi=u-\tilde{u}$, and using the Korn's inequality, we know that $\|u-\tilde{u}\|_{H^0}=0$ which implies $u=\tilde{u}$.

In order to introduce the pressure p, we can define $\lambda\in(\mathscr{H}^1)^*$ as the difference of the left and right hand sides of (3.4). Then $\lambda(\psi)=0$ for all $\psi\in\mathscr{X}$. According to the Proposition 2.12 in [13], there exists a unique $p\in\mathscr{H}^0$ satisfying $(p,\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{\mathscr{H}^0}=\lambda(\psi)$ for all $\psi\in\mathscr{H}^1$. □

In the next result, we establish the strong solutions of (3.1) and present some elliptic estimates.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that $\eta\in H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ for $k\geq 3$ such that the mapping Φ defined in (1.2) is a C^1 diffeomorphism of Ω to $\Omega'=\Phi(\Omega)$. If $F^1\in H^0$, $F^2\in H^1$, $F^3\in H^0$, $F^4\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $F^5\in H^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then the problem (3.1) admits a unique strong solution $(u,p,\theta)\in H^2(\Omega)\times H^1(\Omega)\times H^2(\Omega)$, i.e. (u,p,θ) satisfy (3.1) a.e. in Ω and on Σ, Σ_b. Moreover, for $r=2,\dots,k-1$, we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|_{H^r}+\|p\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|\theta\|_{H^r}\lesssim\ & C(\eta)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}\\ &\qquad+\|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}+\|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

whenever the right-hand side is finite, where $C(\eta)$ is a constant depending on $\|\eta\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}$.

Proof. First, we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases}-\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}\theta=F^3 & \text{in}\quad\Omega,\\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\mathscr{N}+\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|=F^5 & \text{on}\quad\Sigma,\\ \theta=0 & \text{on}\quad\Sigma_b.\end{cases}$$

Since the coefficients of this equation are not constants, We transform this problem to one on $\Omega'=\Phi(\Omega)$ by introducing the unknowns Θ according to $\theta=\Theta\circ\Phi$. Then Θ should be solutions to the usual problem on $\Omega'=\{-1\leq y_3\leq\eta(y_1,y_2)\}$ with upper boundary $\Sigma'=\{y_3=\eta\}$:

$$\begin{cases}-\Delta\Theta=F^3\circ\Phi^{-1}=G^3 & \text{in}\quad\Omega',\\ \nabla\Theta\cdot\mathscr{N}+\Theta\,|\mathscr{N}|=F^5\circ\Phi^{-1}=G^5 & \text{on}\quad\Sigma',\\ \Theta=0 & \text{on}\quad\Sigma_b'.\end{cases}\tag{3.7}$$

Note that, according to Lemma 3.1, $G^3\in H^0(\Omega')$ and $G^5\in H^{1/2}(\Sigma')$. Then we may argue as the Lemma 2.8 in [2] and use the Theorem 10.5 in [1], to obtain that there exists a unique $\Theta\in H^2(\Omega')$, solving problem (3.7) with

$$\|\Theta\|_{H^2(\Omega')}\lesssim C(\eta)(\|G^3\|_{H^0(\Omega')}+\|G^5\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')}),$$

for $C(\eta)$ a constant depending on $\|\eta\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$.

For the $\mathscr{A}$-Stokes equations, we introduce the unknowns v, q by $u = v \circ \Phi$ and $q = p \circ \Phi$. For the usual Stokes problem

$$\begin{cases} S(q,v) - \Theta e_3 = F^1 \circ \Phi^{-1} = G^1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega' \\ \nabla \cdot v = F^2 \circ \Phi^{-1} = G^2 & \text{in} \quad \Omega' \\ S(q,v)\mathscr{N} = F^4 \circ \Phi^{-1} = G^4 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma' \\ v = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b, \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

we use the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.6 in [6] with $G^1 + \Theta e_3$ instead of G^1. Then we have that there exist unique $v \in H^2(\Omega')$, $q \in H^1(\Omega')$, solving problem (3.8) with

$$\|v\|_{H^2(\Omega')} + \|q\|_{H^1(\Omega')} \lesssim C(\eta) \left(\|G^1\|_{H^0(\Omega')} + \|G^2\|_{H^1(\Omega')} + \|G^4\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')} + \|\Theta\|_{H^0(\Omega')} \right),$$

for $C(\eta)$ a constant depending on $\|\eta\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$. so we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{H^2(\Omega')} + \|q\|_{H^1(\Omega')} + \|\Theta\|_{H^2(\Omega')} \lesssim C(\eta) \Big(& \|G^1\|_{H^0(\Omega')} + \|G^2\|_{H^1(\Omega')} \\ & + \|G^3\|_{H^0(\Omega')} + \|G^4\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')} + \|G^5\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma')} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for $C(\eta)$ a constant depending on $\|\eta\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$. Then we may argue it as in Lemma 3.6 of [6] to derive that, for $r = 2, \ldots, k-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v\|_{H^r(\Omega')} + \|q\|_{H^{r-1}(\Omega')} + \|\Theta\|_{H^r(\Omega')} \\ & \lesssim C(\eta) \Big(\|G^1\|_{H^{r-2}(\Omega')} + \|G^2\|_{H^{r-1}(\Omega')} + \|G^3\|_{H^{r-2}(\Omega')} \\ & \quad + \|G^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma')} + \|G^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma')} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for $C(\eta)$ a constant depending on $\|\eta\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$.

Now, we transform back to Ω with $u = v \circ \Phi$, $p = q \circ \Phi$ and $\theta = \Theta \circ \Phi$. It is readily verified that (u, p, T) are strong solutions of (3.1). According to Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^r} + \|p\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|\theta\|_{H^r} \lesssim C(\eta) \Big(& \|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}} + \|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}} \\ & + \|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} + \|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} \Big), \end{aligned}$$

whenever the right-hand side is finite, where $C(\eta)$ is a constant depending on $\|\eta\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}$. This is what we want. □

In the next lemma, we verify that the constant in (3.6) can actually only depend on the initial free surface.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and suppose that $\eta \in H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and $\eta_0 \in H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$. Then there exists a positive number $\varepsilon_0 < 1$ such that if $\|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \varepsilon_0$, the solution to* (3.1) *satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^r} + \|p\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|\theta\|_{H^r} \lesssim C(\eta_0) \Big(& \|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}} + \|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}} \\ & + \|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} + \|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for $r = 2, \ldots, k-1$, whenever the right hand side is finite, where $C(\eta_0)$ is a constant depending on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$.

Proof. Here, we use the same idea as in Lemma 2.17 of [13]. We rewrite the equation (3.1) with its coefficients determined by η_0, i.e. it can be thought as a perturbation of equations of (3.1) in terms of initial data,

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}_0} S_{\mathscr{A}_0}(p,u) - \theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0} = F^1 + F^{1,0} & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} \cdot u = F^2 + F^{2,0} & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ -\Delta_{\mathscr{A}_0} \theta = F^3 + F^{3,0} & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ S_{\mathscr{A}_0}(p,u)\mathscr{N}_0 = F^4 + F^{4,0} & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta \cdot \mathscr{N}_0 + \theta\,|\mathscr{N}_0| = F^5 + F^{5,0} & \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ u = 0, \quad \theta = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F^{1,0} &= \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}} \cdot S_{\mathscr{A}}(p,u) + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} \cdot S_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}}(p,u) + \theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}} y_3 + \theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}(y_{3,0} - y_3), \\ F^{2,0} &= \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}} u, \\ F^{3,0} &= \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}} \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}} \theta, \\ F^{4,0} &= S_{\mathscr{A}_0}(p,u)(\mathscr{N}_0 - \mathscr{N}) + S_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}}(p,u)\mathscr{N}, \\ F^{5,0} &= \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta \cdot (\mathscr{N}_0 - \mathscr{N}) + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0 - \mathscr{A}}\theta \cdot \mathscr{N} + \theta\left(|\mathscr{N}_0| - |\mathscr{N}|\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathscr{A}_0$, $\mathscr{N}_0$ and $y_{3,0}$ are quantities of $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{N}$ and y_3 in terms of η_0. By the assumption, we know that $\eta - \eta_0 \in H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ and $\|\eta - \eta_0\|^{\ell}_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} \le \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} < 1$ for any positive integer ℓ. By the straightforward computation, we may derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F^{1,0}\|_{H^{r-2}} &\le C\left(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^4 \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\|u\|_{H^r} + \|p\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|\theta\|_{H^{r-2}}\right), \\ \|F^{2,0}\|_{H^{r-1}} &\le C\Big(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)^2 \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}} \|u\|_{H^r}, \\ \|F^{3,0}\|_{H^{r-2}} &\le C\Big(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)^4 \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}} \|\theta\|_{H^r}, \\ \|F^{4,0}\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} &\le C\Big(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)^2 \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}} \left(\|u\|_{H^r} + \|p\|_{H^{r-1}}\right), \\ \|F^{5,0}\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} &\le C\Big(1 + \|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)^2 \|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}} \|\theta\|_{H^r}, \end{aligned}$$

for $r = 2, \dots, k-1$.

Based on the Lemma 3.3, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{H^r} + \|p\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|\theta\|_{H^r} \\ &\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|F^1 + F^{1,0}\|_{H^{r-2}} + \|F^2 + F^{2,0}\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|F^3 + F^{3,0}\|_{H^{r-2}} \\ &\qquad + \|F^4 + F^{4,0}\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} + \|F^5 + F^{5,0}\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\eta_0)$ is a constant depending on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$. Combining the above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{H^r}+\|p\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|\theta\|_{H^r}\\&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}+\|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big)\\&\quad+C(\eta_0)\Big(1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}\Big)^4\|\eta-\eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\|u\|_{H^r}+\|p\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|\theta\|_{H^r}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

for $r=2,\dots,k-1$. Then, if $\|\eta-\eta_0\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}}$ is to be chosen small enough such that the second term of the above inequality on the right-hand side less than $\frac{1}{2}(\|u\|_{H^r}+\|p\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|\theta\|_{H^r})$, then it can be absorbed into the left hand side, and we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{H^r}+\|p\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|\theta\|_{H^r}\\&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}+\|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

for $r=2,\dots,k-1$. □

Notice that the estimate in (3.11) can only go up to $k-1$ order, which does not satisfy our requirement. In the next result, we can achieve two more order with a bootstrap argument, where we use the idea of [13].

Proposition 3.5. *Let $k\geq 3$ be an integer. Suppose that $\eta\in H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ as well as $\eta_0\in H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ satisfying $\|\eta-\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}\leq\varepsilon_0$. Then the solution to (3.1) satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{H^r}+\|p\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|\theta\|_{H^r}\\&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}+\|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big),\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

for $r=2,\dots,k+1$, whenever the right hand side is finite, where $C(\eta_0)$ is a constant depending on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}$.

Proof. Here, we only consider the case for $r=k$ and $r=k+1$, since the conclusion has been proved when $r\leq k-1$. For $m\in\mathbb{N}$, we define η^m by throwing away high frequencies:

$$\hat{\eta}^m(n)=\begin{cases}\hat{\eta}(n), & \text{for}\quad |n|\leq m-1,\\ 0, & \text{for}\quad |n|\geq m.\end{cases}$$

Then for each m, $\eta^m\in H^j(\Sigma)$ for arbitrary $j\geq 0$ and $\eta^m\to\eta$ in $H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ as $m\to\infty$.

We consider the problem (3.1) with $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{N}$ replaced by $\mathscr{A}^m$ and $\mathscr{N}^m$, and y_3 replaced by y_3^m. Since $\eta^m\in H^{\frac{5}{2}}$, we may apply Lemma 3.3 to deduce that there exists a unique (u^m, p^m, θ^m) which solves

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^m} S_{\mathscr{A}^m}(p^m, u^m) - \theta^m \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^m} y_3^m = F^1 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^m} u^m = F^2 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ -\Delta_{\mathscr{A}^m} \theta^m = F^3 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ S_{\mathscr{A}^m}(p^m, u^m)\mathscr{N}^m = F^4 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^m} \theta^m \cdot \mathscr{N}^m + \theta^m \left|\mathscr{N}^m\right| = F^5 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma \\ u^m = 0, \quad \theta^m = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b \end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^m\|_{H^r} + \|p^m\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|\theta^m\|_{H^r} \lesssim \; & C(\|\eta^m\|_{H^{k+\frac{5}{2}}})\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}} + \|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}} + \|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}} \\ & + \|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} + \|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big) \end{aligned}$$

for $r = 2, \ldots, k+1$. In the following, we will prove that the constant $C(\|\eta^m\|_{H^{k+\frac{5}{2}}})$ can be improved only in terms of $\|\eta^m\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$.

For convenience, we define

$$\mathscr{Z} = C(\eta_0)P(\eta^m)\Big(\|F^1\|^2_{H^{r-2}} + \|F^2\|^2_{H^{r-1}} + \|F^3\|^2_{H^{r-2}} + \|F^4\|^2_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)} + \|F^5\|^2_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big)$$

where $C(\eta_0)$ is a constant depending on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$ and $P(\eta)$ is a polynomial of $\|\eta^m\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$. Then after the same computation as in the proof of Proposition 2.18 in [13] except for the only modification of F replaced by $F^1 + \theta^m \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^m} y_3^m$, we have

$$\|u^m\|_{H^r} + \|p^m\|_{H^{r-1}} \lesssim \mathscr{Z},$$

for $r = 2, \ldots, k+1$. That's because in the above estimate, we only need to consider the terms $\|\theta^m\|_{H^r}$, for $r = 2, \cdots, k-1$, but $\|\theta^m\|_{H^r} \lesssim \mathscr{Z}$ is assured by the Lemma 3.11.

Then we consider the temperature θ^m. In the following of bootstrap argument, we may abuse the notation θ instead of θ^m and also for η, $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{N}$, but they should be thought as η^m, $\mathscr{A}^m$, $\mathscr{N}^m$. We write explicitly the equation of θ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{11}\theta + \partial_{22}\theta + (1 + A^2 + B^2)K^2\partial_{33}\theta - 2AK\partial_{13}\theta - 2BK\partial_{23}\theta \\ & \quad + (AK\partial_3(AK) + BK\partial_3(BK) - \partial_1(AK) - \partial_2(BK) + K\partial_3 K)\partial_3\theta = -F^3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

step 1 $r = k$ case. By Lemma 3.11,

$$\|\theta\|^2_{H^{k-1}} \lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|F^3\|^2_{H^{k-3}} + \|F^5\|^2_{H^{k-\frac{5}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big) \lesssim \mathscr{Z},$$

where the constant $C(\eta_0)$ only depends on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$. For $i = 1, 2$, since $\partial_i\theta$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_i\theta = \bar{F}^3 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_i\theta \cdot \mathscr{N} + \partial_i\theta \left|\mathscr{N}\right| = \bar{F}^5 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma, \\ \partial_i\theta = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{F}^3 &= \partial_i F^3 + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}} \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} \nabla_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\theta, \\ \bar{F}^5 &= \partial_i F^5 - \nabla_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\theta \cdot \mathscr{N} - \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta \cdot \partial_i\mathscr{N} - \theta\partial_i \left|\mathscr{N}\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma A.1–A.2 in [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\bar{F}^3\|_{H^{k-3}}^2 + \|\bar{F}^5\|_{H^{k-\frac{5}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|F^3\|_{H^{k-2}}^2 + \|F^5\|_{H^{k-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + P(\eta)\|\theta\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 \\
&\lesssim \mathscr{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Employing the $k-1$ order elliptic estimate, we have

$$\|\partial_i\theta\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 \lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|\bar{F}^3\|_{H^{k-3}}^2 + \|\bar{F}^5\|_{H^{k-\frac{5}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2\Big) \lesssim \mathscr{Z}.$$

Then taking derivative ∂_3^{k-2} on both sides of (3.16) and focusing on the term $(1+A^2+B^2)K^2\partial_3^k\theta$, the estimates of all the other terms in H^0-norm implies that

$$\|\partial_3^k\theta\|_{H^0}^2 \lesssim \mathscr{Z}.$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$\|\theta\|_{H^k}^2 \lesssim \mathscr{Z}.$$

step 2 $r = k+1$ case.

For $i, j = 1, 2$, since $\partial_{ij}\theta$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_{ij}\theta = \tilde{F}^3 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\
\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_{ij}\theta\cdot\mathscr{N} + \partial_{ij}\theta\,|\mathscr{N}| = \tilde{F}^5 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma, \\
\partial_{ij}\theta = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b,
\end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}^3 &= \partial_{ij}F^3 + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_{ij}\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\partial_{ij}\mathscr{A}}\theta + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\partial_j\mathscr{A}}\theta + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_j\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\theta \\
&\quad + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_j\theta + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_j\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_i\theta + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\partial_j\theta + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}\nabla_{\partial_j\mathscr{A}}\partial_i\theta, \\
\tilde{F}^5 &= \partial_{ij}F^5 - \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\partial_{ij}\mathscr{N} - (\nabla_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\theta + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_i\theta)\cdot\partial_j\mathscr{N} - (\nabla_{\partial_j\mathscr{A}}\theta + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\partial_j\theta)\cdot\partial_i\mathscr{N} \\
&\quad - (\nabla_{\partial_{ij}\mathscr{A}}\theta + \nabla_{\partial_i\mathscr{A}}\partial_j\theta - \nabla_{\partial_j\mathscr{A}}\partial_i\theta)\mathscr{N} - \theta\partial_{ij}\,|\mathscr{N}| - \partial_i\theta\partial_j\,|\mathscr{N}| - \partial_j\theta\partial_i\,|\mathscr{N}|\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma A.1–A.2 in [6] to the forcing terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{F}^3\|_{H^{k-3}}^2 + \|\tilde{F}^5\|_{H^{k-\frac{5}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \|F^3\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|F^5\|_{H^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + P(\eta)\|\theta\|_{H^k}^2 \\
&\lesssim \mathscr{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the Lemma 3.11 implies that

$$\|\partial_{ij}\theta\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 \lesssim C(\eta_0)\left(\|\tilde{F}^3\|_{H^{k-3}}^2 + \|\tilde{F}^5\|_{H^{k-\frac{5}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2\right) \lesssim \mathscr{Z}.$$

Since we have proved the case $r = k$, we take derivative $\partial_3^{k-2}\partial_i$ on both sides of (3.16) for $i = 1, 2$ and focus on the term of $(1+A^2+B^2)K^2\partial_3^k\partial_i\theta$. Utilizing the estimates of all the other terms in H^0-norm, we have

$$\|\partial_3^k\partial_i\theta\|_{H^0}^2 \lesssim \mathscr{Z}.$$

Then, taking derivative ∂_3^{k-1} on both sides of (3.16) and focusing on the term of $(1+A^2+B^2)K^2\partial_3^{k+1}\theta$, by all the estimates above, we have

$$\|\partial_3^{k+1}\theta\|_{H^0}^2 \lesssim \mathscr{Z}.$$

Therefore, we have proved

$$\|\theta\|_{H^{k+1}}^2 \lesssim \mathscr{Z}.$$

Now, we go back to the original notation. According to the convergence of η^m, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u^m\|_{H^r}^2 + \|p^m\|_{H^{r-1}}^2 + \|\theta^m\|_{H^r}^2 \\
&\lesssim C(\eta_0)P(\eta^m)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}^2 + \|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}^2 + \|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}^2 + \|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + \|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2\Big) \\
&\lesssim C(\eta_0)P(\eta)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}^2 + \|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}^2 + \|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}^2 + \|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + \|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2\Big) \\
&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}^2 + \|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}^2 + \|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}^2 + \|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + \|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for $r = 2, \dots, k+1$, where in the last inequality we have used the assumption that $\|\eta - \eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}} \le \varepsilon_0$ and the term $P(\eta_0)$ is absorbed by $C(\eta_0)$. Here $C(\eta_0)$ depends only on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}$.

The inequality of boundedness (3.17) implies that the sequence $\{(u^m, p^m, \theta^m)\}$ is uniformly bounded in $H^r \times H^{r-1} \times H^r$, so we can extract a weakly convergent subsequence, which is still denoted by $\{(u^m, p^m, \theta^m)\}$. That is, $u^m \rightharpoonup u^0$ in $H^r(\Omega)$, $p^m \rightharpoonup p^0$ in $H^{r-1}(\Omega)$ and $\theta^m \rightharpoonup \theta^0$ in $H^r(\Omega)$. Since $\eta^m \to \eta$ in $H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$, we also have that $\mathscr{A}^m \to \mathscr{A}$, $J^m \to J$ in $H^k(\Omega)$, and $\mathscr{N}^m \to \mathscr{N}$ in $H^{k-\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$.

After multiplying the equation $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^m} u^m = F^2$ by wJ^m for $w \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ and integrating by parts, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Omega F^2 w J^m = \int_\Omega \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^m}(u^m) w J^m &= -\int_\Omega u^m \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^m} w J^m \\
&\to -\int_\Omega u^0 \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} w J = \int_\Omega \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}(u^0) w J,
\end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} u^0 = F^2$. Then multiplying the third equation in (3.1) by wJ^m for $w \in {}_0H^1(\Omega)$ and integrating by parts, we have that

$$\int_\Omega \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^m}\theta^m \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^m} w J^m + \int_\Sigma \theta^m w \,|\mathscr{N}^m| = \int_\Omega F^3 w J^m + \int_\Sigma F^5 w,$$

which, by passing to the limit $m \to \infty$, reveals that

$$\int_\Omega \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta^0 \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} w J + \int_\Sigma \theta^0 w \,|\mathscr{N}| = \int_\Omega F^3 w J + \int_\Sigma F^5 w.$$

Finally we multiply the first equation in (3.1) by wJ^m for $w \in {}_0H^1(\Omega)$ and integrate by parts to see that

$$\int_\Omega \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^m} u^m : \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^m} w J^m - p^m J^m - \theta^m \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^m} y_3^m \cdot w J^m = \int_\Omega F^1 \cdot w J^m - \int_\Sigma F^4 \cdot w.$$

Passing to the limit $m \to \infty$, we deduce that

$$-\int_\Omega \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u^0 : \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} w J + p^0 \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}(w) J - \theta^0 \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3 \cdot w J = \int_\Omega F^1 \cdot w J - \int_\Sigma F^4 \cdot w.$$

After integrating by parts again, we deduce that (u^0, p^0, θ^0) satisfies (3.1). Since (u, p, θ) is the unique solution to (3.1), we have that $u = u^0$, $p = p^0$ and $\theta = \theta^0$.

Then, according to the weak lower semicontinuity and the uniform boundedness of (3.17), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{H^r}+\|p\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|\theta\|_{H^r}\\&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|F^1\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^2\|_{H^{r-1}}+\|F^3\|_{H^{r-2}}+\|F^4\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}+\|F^5\|_{H^{r-\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

for $r=2,\dots,k+1$, where $C(\eta_0)$ is a constant depending on $\|\eta_0\|_{H^{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}$. □

3.3. The $\mathscr{A}$-Poisson problem.

Now we consider the elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases}\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}p=f^1 & \text{in}\,\Omega,\\ p=f^2 & \text{on}\,\Sigma,\\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}p\cdot\nu=f^3 & \text{on}\,\Sigma_b,\end{cases}\tag{3.18}$$

where ν is the outward–pointing normal on Σ_b. The details of elliptic estimates of (3.18) has been interpreted in [6] and [13], so we omit them here.

4. Linear estimates

Now we study the problem (1.8), following the path of [6]. First, we will employ two notions of solution: weak and strong.

4.1. The weak solution.

Suppose that a smooth solution to (1.8) exists, then by integrating over Ω by parts, and in time from 0 to T, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\partial_t u,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+\frac{1}{2}(u,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^1}-(p,\mathrm{div}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}-(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}y_3,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}\\&\qquad=\big(F^1,\psi\big)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}-\big(F^4,\psi\big)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)},\\&(\partial_t\theta,\phi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta,\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+(\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|\,,\phi)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)}\\&\qquad=\big(F^3,\psi\big)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+\big(F^5,\psi\big)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

for ϕ, $\psi\in\mathscr{H}^1_T$.

If we were to restrict the test function ψ to $\psi\in\mathscr{X}$, the term $(p,\mathrm{div}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}$ would vanish. Then we have a pressureless weak formulation.

$$\begin{aligned}&(\partial_t u,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+\frac{1}{2}(u,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^1}-(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}y_3,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}\\&\qquad=\big(F^1,\psi\big)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}-\big(F^4,\psi\big)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)},\\&(\partial_t\theta,\phi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta,\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+(\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|\,,\phi)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)}\\&\qquad=\big(F^3,\psi\big)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}+\big(F^5,\psi\big)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

This leads us to define a weak solution without pressure.

Definition 4.1. *Suppose that $u_0\in\mathscr{Y}(0)$, $\theta_0\in H^0(\Omega)$, $F^1-F^4\in(\mathscr{X}_T)^*$ and $F^3+F^5\in(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*$. If there exists a pair (u,θ) achieving the initial data u_0, θ_0 and satisfies $u\in\mathscr{H}^1_T$, $\theta\in\mathscr{H}^1_T$ and $\partial_t u\in(\mathscr{X}_T)^*$, $\partial_t\theta\in(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\partial_t u,\psi\rangle_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*}+\frac{1}{2}(u,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^1}-(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}y_3,\psi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^0}=\big(F^1-F^4,\psi\big)_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*},\\&\langle\partial_t\theta,\phi\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*}+(\theta,\phi)_{L^2\mathscr{H}^1}+(\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|\,,\phi)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)}=\big(F^3+F^5,\psi\big)_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*},\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

holds for any $\psi\in\mathscr{X}_T$ and $\phi\in\mathscr{H}^1_T$, we call the pair (u,θ) a pressureless weak solution.

Since our aim is to construct solutions with high regularity to (1.8), we will directly construct strong solutions to (4.3). And it is easy to see that weak solutions will arise as a byproduct of the construction of strong solutions to (1.8). Hence, we will not study the existence of weak solutions.

Now we derive some properties and uniqueness of weak solutions.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that u, θ are weak solutions of* (4.3). *Then, for almost every $t \in [0,T]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \|u(s)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s &= \frac{1}{2}\|u(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} + \left(F^1 - F^4, u\right)_{(\mathscr{X}_t)^*} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_\Omega |u(s)|^2 \partial_s J(s)\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t\int_\Omega \theta(s)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3 \cdot u(s)\,\mathrm{d}s, \\ \frac{1}{2}\|\theta(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \int_0^t \|\theta(s)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t\int_\Sigma |\theta(s)|^2\,|\mathscr{N}|\,\mathrm{d}s &= \frac{1}{2}\|\theta(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} \\ &\quad + \left(F^3 + F^5, \theta\right)_{(\mathscr{H}^1_t)^*} + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_\Omega |\theta(s)|^2 \partial_s J(s)\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Also,

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\theta(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \|\theta\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_T} \lesssim \exp\left(C_0(\eta)T\right)\left(\|\theta(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} + \|F^3+F^5\|^2_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*}\right), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_T} &\lesssim \exp\left(CC_0(\eta)T\right)\Big(\|u(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} + \|\theta(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} \\ &\quad + \|F^1-F^4\|^2_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} + \|F^3+F^5\|^2_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where $C_0(\eta) := \max\{\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_t JK\|_{L^\infty}, \sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3\|_{L^\infty}\}$.

Proof. The identity (4.4) follows directly from Lemma 2.4 in [6] and (4.3) by using the test function $\psi = u\chi_{[0,t]} \in \mathscr{X}_T$, and $\phi = \theta\chi_{[0,t]} \in \mathscr{H}^1_T$, where $\chi_{[0,t]}$ is a temporal indicator function to 1 on the interval $[0,t]$.

From (4.4), we can directly derive the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{2}\|\theta(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \|\theta\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_t} \le \frac{1}{2}\|\theta(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} + \|F^3+F^5\|_{(\mathscr{H}^1_t)^*}\|\theta\|_{\mathscr{H}^1_t} + \frac{1}{2}C_0(\eta)\|\theta(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0_t}, \tag{4.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \frac{1}{2}\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_t} &\le \frac{1}{2}\|u(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} + \|F^1-F^4\|_{(\mathscr{X}_t)^*}\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}^1_t} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}C_0(\eta)\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0_t} + CC_0(\eta)\|\theta\|_{\mathscr{H}^1_t}\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}^1_t},\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where, for (4.8), we have used the Poincaré inequality in Lemma A.14 on [6], and

$$\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k_t} = \int_0^t \|u(s)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k(s)}\,\mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1,$$

and similarly for $\|\theta\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^k_t}$, $\|F^1 - F^4\|_{(\mathscr{X}_t)^*}$, $\|F^3 + F^5\|_{(\mathscr{H}^1_t)^*}$. Inequalities (4.7), (4.8) and Cauchy inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\|\theta(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \frac{3}{4}\|\theta\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_t} \le \frac{1}{2}\|\theta(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} + \|F^3 - F^5\|^2_{(\mathscr{H}^1_t)^*} + \frac{1}{2}C_0(\eta)\|\theta(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0_t},\\
&\frac{1}{2}\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(t)} + \frac{1}{8}\|u\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_t} \le \frac{1}{2}\|u(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0(0)} + \|F^1 - F^4\|^2_{(\mathscr{X}_t)^*} + \frac{1}{2}C_0(\eta)\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0_t}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + CC_0(\eta)\|\theta\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_t},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Then (4.5) and (4.6) follow from the integral inequality (4.9) and Gronwall's lemma. □

Proposition 4.3. *Weak solutions to* (4.3) *are unique.*

Proof. Suppose that (u^1, θ^1) and (u^2, θ^2) are both weak solutions to (4.3), then (w, ϑ), defined by $w = u^1 - u^2$ and $\vartheta = \theta^1 - \theta^2$, is a weak solution with $F^1 - F^4 = 0$, $F^3 + F^5 = 0$, $w(0) = u^1(0) - u^2(0) = 0$ and $\vartheta(0) = \theta^1(0) - \theta^2(0)$. Then the bounds (4.5) and (4.6) imply that $w = 0$ and $\vartheta = 0$. Hence, weak solutions to (4.3) are unique. □

4.2. **The strong solution.** Before we define the strong solution, we need to define an operator D_t as

$$D_t u := \partial_t u - Ru \quad \text{for} \quad R := \partial_t M M^{-1}, \tag{4.10}$$

with $M = K\nabla\Phi$, where K, Φ are as defined in (1.2) and (1.3). It is easily to be known that D_t preserves the $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}$ -free condition, since

$$J \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}(D_t v) = J \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}(M\partial_t(M^{-1}v)) = \operatorname{div}(\partial_t(M^{-1}v)) = \partial_t \operatorname{div}(M^{-1}v) = \partial_t(J \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} v),$$

where the equality $J \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} v = \operatorname{div}(M^{-1}v)$ can be found in Page 299 of [6].

Definition 4.4. *Suppose that the forcing functions satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}
&F^1 \in L^2([0,T]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^0(\Omega)),\\
&F^3 \in L^2([0,T]; H^1(\Omega)) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^0(\Omega)),\\
&F^4 \in L^2([0,T]; H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Sigma)) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)),\\
&\partial_t(F^1 - F^4) \in L^2([0,T]; ({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*), \quad \partial_t(F^3 + F^5) \in L^2([0,T]; ({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

We also assume that $u_0 \in H^2 \cap \mathscr{X}(0)$ *and* $\theta_0 \in H^2 \cap \mathscr{H}^1(0)$. *If there exists a pair* (u, p, θ) *achieving the initial data* u_0, θ_0 *and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
&u \in L^2([0,T]; H^3) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^2) \cap \mathscr{X}_T && \partial_t u \in L^2([0,T]; H^1) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^0)\\
&D_t u \in \mathscr{X}_T, \quad \partial_t^2 u \in \mathscr{X}_T^* && p \in L^2([0,T]; H^2) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^1)\\
&\theta \in L^2([0,T]; H^3) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^2) && \partial_t\theta \in L^2([0,T]; H^1) \cap C^0([0,T]; H^0)\\
&\partial_t^2\theta \in (\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

such that they satisfies (1.8) *in the strong sense, we call it a strong solution.*

Then, we have to prove the lower regularity of strong solutions.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that the forcing terms and the initial data satisfy the condition in Definition 4.4, and that* u_0, $F^4(0)$ *satisfy the compatibility condition*

$$\Pi_0\left(F^4(0) + \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u_0 \mathscr{N}_0\right) = 0, \quad \textit{where}\ \mathscr{N}_0 = (-\partial_1\eta_0, -\partial_2\eta_0, 1), \tag{4.13}$$

and Π_0 *is an orthogonal projection onto the tangent space of the surface* $\{x_3 = \eta_0\}$ *defined by*

$$\Pi_0 v = v - (v \cdot \mathscr{N}_0)\mathscr{N}_0 |\mathscr{N}_0|^{-2}. \tag{4.14}$$

Then there exists a strong solution (u, p, θ) *satisfying* (4.12)*. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|u\|^2_{L^2 H^3} + \|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^\infty H^0} + \|\partial_t u\|^2_{L^2 H^1} + \|\partial_t^2 u\|_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} + \|p\|^2_{L^\infty H^1} + \|p\|^2_{L^2 H^2} \\ &\quad + \|\theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|\theta\|^2_{L^2 H^3} + \|\partial_t \theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^0} + \|\partial_t \theta\|^2_{L^2 H^1} + \|\partial_t^2 \theta\|_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*} \\ &\lesssim P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}}) \left(1 + \mathscr{K}(\eta)\right) \exp\left(C(1 + \mathscr{K}(\eta))T\right) \Big(\|u_0\|^2_{H^2} + \|\theta_0\|^2_{H^2} + \|F^1(0)\|^2_{H^0} \\ &\quad + \|F^3(0)\|^2_{H^0} + \|F^4(0)\|^2_{H^{1/2}(\Sigma)} + \|F^1\|^2_{L^2 H^1} + \|F^3\|^2_{L^2 H^1} + \|F^4\|^2_{L^2 H^{3/2}(\Sigma)} \\ &\quad + \|F^5\|^2_{L^2 H^{3/2}(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t (F^1 - F^4)\|^2_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} + \|\partial_t (F^3 + F^5)\|^2_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where C *is a constant independent of* η *and* $\mathscr{K}(\eta)$ *is defined as*

$$\mathscr{K}(\eta) := \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left(\|\eta\|^2_{H^{9/2}} + \|\partial_t \eta\|^2_{H^{7/2}} + \|\partial_t^2 \eta\|^2_{H^{5/2}} \right). \tag{4.16}$$

The initial pressure, $p(0) \in H^1(\Omega)$ *is determined by terms* u_0*,* θ_0*,* $F^1(0)$*,* $F^4(0)$ *as a weak solution to*

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}_0} \left(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} p(0) - F^1(0) - \theta_0 \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0} \right) = -\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}_0} (R(0) u_0) \in H^0(\Omega), \\ p(0) = (F^4(0) + \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}_0} u_0 \mathscr{N}_0) \cdot \mathscr{N}_0 |\mathscr{N}_0|^{-2} \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma), \\ \left(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} p(0) - F^1(0) \right) \cdot \nu = \Delta_{\mathscr{A}_0} u_0 \cdot \nu \in H^{-1/2}(\Sigma_b), \end{cases} \tag{4.17}$$

where $y_{3,0}$ *in terms of* η_0*. Also,* $\partial_t \theta(0)$ *satisfies*

$$\partial_t \theta(0) = \Delta_{\mathscr{A}_0} \theta_0 + F^3(0) \in H^0(\Omega), \tag{4.18}$$

and $D_t u(0) = \partial_t u(0) - R(0) u_0$ *satisfies*

$$D_t u(0) = \Delta_{\mathscr{A}_0} u_0 - \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} p(0) + F^1(0) + \theta_0 e_3 - R(0) u_0 \in \mathscr{Y}(0). \tag{4.19}$$

Moreover, $\partial_t \theta$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t (\partial_t \theta) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} (\partial_t \theta) = \partial_t F^3 + G^3 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} (\partial_t \theta) \cdot \mathscr{N} + \partial_t \theta \, |\mathscr{N}| = \partial_t F^5 + G^5 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma, \\ \partial_t \theta = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b, \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

and $D_t u$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t (D_t u) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} (D_t u) + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} (\partial_t p) - D_t (\theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) = D_t F^1 + G^1 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} (D_t u) = 0 & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ S_{\mathscr{A}} (\partial_t p, D_t u) \mathscr{N} = \partial_t F^4 + G^4 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma, \\ D_t u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \Sigma_b, \end{cases} \tag{4.21}$$

in the weak sense of (4.3)*, where* G^1 *is defined by*

$$G^1 = -(R + \partial_t J K) \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} u - \partial_t R u + (\partial_t J K + R + R^\top) \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} p + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} (\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} (R u) - R \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u + \mathbb{D}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} u)$$

($R^\top$ *denoting the matrix transpose of* R*),* G^3 *by*

$$G^3 = -\partial_t J K \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} \theta + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} (-R \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta + \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} \theta),$$

G^4 *by*

$$G^4 = \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} (R u) \mathscr{N} - (p I - \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} u) \partial_t \mathscr{N} + \mathbb{D}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} u \mathscr{N},$$

and G^5 *by*

$$G^5 = -\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\partial_t\mathscr{N} - \nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\mathscr{N} - \theta\partial_t\left|\mathscr{N}\right|.$$

More precisely, (4.20) *and* (4.21) *hold in the weak sense of* (4.3) *in that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\partial_t^2\theta,\phi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*} + (\partial_t\theta,\phi)_{\mathscr{H}_T^1} + (\partial_t\theta\left|\mathscr{N}\right|,\phi)_{L^2H^0(\Sigma)}\\ &= \left\langle\partial_t(F^3+F^5)\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*} + \left(\partial_tJKF^3,\phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}_T^0} - (\partial_tJK\partial_t\theta,\phi)_{\mathscr{H}_T^0}\\ &\quad - \int_0^T\int_\Omega\left(\partial_tJK\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi + \nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}\phi\right)J\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle\partial_tD_tu,\psi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_tu,\psi\right)_{\mathscr{H}_T^1}\\ &= \left\langle\partial_t(F^1-F^4),\psi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} + \left(\partial_t(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}y_3),\psi\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0} - (\partial_tRu + R\partial_tu,\psi)_{\mathscr{H}_T^0}\\ &\quad + \left(\partial_tJKF^1,\psi\right)_{\mathscr{H}_T^0} - (\partial_tJK\theta e_3,\psi)_{\mathscr{H}_T^0} - (\partial_tJK\partial_tu,\psi)_{\mathscr{H}_T^0} - (p,\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}(R\psi))_{\mathscr{H}_T^0}\\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_\Omega\left(\partial_tJK\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}u:\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi + \mathbb{D}_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}u:\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}\psi + \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}}u:\mathbb{D}_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}\psi\right)J\end{aligned}\tag{4.23}$$

for all $\phi\in\mathscr{H}_T^1$, $\psi\in\mathscr{X}_T$.

Proof. Here we will use the Galerkin method, which may be referred to [5].

Step 1. The construction of approximate solutions for θ. Since the scalar-valued space $H^2(\Omega)\cap{}_0H^1(\Omega)$ is separable, we can choose a countable basis $\{\tilde{w}^j\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Note that this basis is time-independent. Now, we need to construct a time-dependent basis for $H^2\cap\mathscr{H}^1$. We define $\phi^j=\phi^j(t):=K(t)\tilde{w}^j$. According to the Proposition 2.2, $\phi^j(t)\in H^2(\Omega)\cap\mathscr{H}^1(t)$, and $\{\phi^j(t)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a basis of $H^2(\Omega)\cap\mathscr{H}^1(t)$ for each $t\in[0,T]$. Moreover,

$$\partial_t\phi^j(t) = \partial_tK(t)\tilde{w}^j = \partial_tKJK\tilde{w}^j = \partial_tKJ\phi^j(t),\tag{4.24}$$

which allows us to express $\partial_t\phi^j$ in terms of ϕ^j. For any integer $m\geq 1$, we define the finite-dimensional space $\mathscr{H}_m^1(t):=\operatorname{span}\{\phi^1(t),\ldots,\phi^m(t)\}\subset H^2(\Omega)\cap\mathscr{H}^1(t)$ and we define $\mathscr{P}_t^m: H^2(\Omega)\to\mathscr{H}_m^1(t)$ for $H^2(\Omega)$ orthogonal projection onto $\mathscr{H}_m^1(t)$. Clearly, if $\theta\in H^2(\Omega)\cap\mathscr{H}^1(t)$, $\mathscr{P}_t^m\theta\to\theta$ as $m\to\infty$.

For each $m\geq 1$, we define an approximate solution

$$\theta^m = d_j^m(t)\phi^j(t),\quad\text{with}\quad d_j^m(t):[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}\quad\text{for}\quad j=1,\ldots,m,$$

where as usual we use the Einstein convention of summation of the repeated index j. We want to choose d_j^m such that

$$(\partial_t\theta^m,\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\theta^m,\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^1} + (\theta^m\left|\mathscr{N}\right|,\phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)} = \left(F^3,\phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \left(F^5,\phi\right)_{H^0(\Sigma)},\tag{4.25}$$

with the initial data $\theta^m(0)=\mathscr{P}_t^m\theta_0\in\mathscr{H}_m^1(0)$ for each $\phi\in\mathscr{H}_m^1(t)$. And (4.25) is equivalent to the system of ODEs for d_j^m:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{d}_j^m\left(\phi^j,\phi^k\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + d_j^m\left(\left(\partial_tKJ\phi^j,\phi^k\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \left(\phi^j,\phi^k\right)_{\mathscr{H}^1} + \left(\phi^j\left|\mathscr{N}\right|,\phi^k\right)_{H^0(\Sigma)}\right)&\\ = \left(F^3,\phi^k\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \left(F^5,\phi^k\right)_{H^0(\Sigma)}&\end{aligned}\tag{4.26}$$

for $j,k=1,\ldots,m$. The $m\times m$ matrix with j,k entry $\left(\phi^j,\phi^k\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0}$ is invertible, the coefficients of the linear system (4.26) are $C^1([0,T])$, and the forcing terms are

$C^0([0,T])$, so the usual well-posedness of ODEs guarantees that the existence of a unique solution $d_j^m \in C^1([0,T])$ to (4.26) that satisfies the initial data. This provides the desired solution, θ^m, to (4.25). Since F^3, F^5 satisfy (4.11), equation (4.26) may be differentiated in time to see that $d_j^m \in C^{1,1}([0,T])$, which means d_j^m is twice differentiable almost everywhere in $[0,T]$.

Step 2. The energy estimates for θ^m. Since $\theta^m(t) \in \mathscr{H}^1_m(t)$, we take $\phi = \theta^m$ as a test function in (4.25), using the Poincaré-type inequalities in Lemma A.14 of [6] and usual trace theory, we have

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2}\|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1} \lesssim (\|F^3\|_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \|F^5\|_{H^{1/2}(\Sigma)})\|\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^1} - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |\theta^m|^2 \partial_t J.$$

Then, applying Cauchy's inequality, we may derive that

$$\partial_t \frac{1}{2}\|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \frac{1}{4}\|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1} \lesssim \|F^3\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \|F^5\|^2_{H^{1/2}(\Sigma)} + C_0(\eta)\frac{1}{2}\|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0}$$

with $C_0(\eta) := 1 + \sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_t JK\|_{L^\infty}$. Using the Lemma 2.9 in [13], we may have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\theta^m(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0} &\le P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\|\theta^m(0)\|_{H^0} \le P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\|\theta^m(0)\|_{H^2}\\ &= P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\|\mathscr{P}^m_0\theta_0\|_{H^2} \le P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\|\theta_0\|_{H^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Now, we can utilize Gronwall's lemma to deduce energy estimates for θ^m:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_T}\\ &\qquad \lesssim P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\exp(C_0(\eta)T)(\|\theta_0\|^2_{H^2} + \|F^3\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0_T} + \|F^5\|^2_{L^2H^{1/2}(\Sigma)}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

Step 3. Estimates for $\partial_t\theta^m(0)$. If $\theta \in H^2(\Omega)\cap\mathscr{H}^1(t)$, $\phi\in\mathscr{H}^1$, the integration by parts reveals that

$$(\theta,\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^1} = \int_\Omega -\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\phi J + \int_\Sigma (\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\mathscr{N})\phi = (-\Delta_{\mathscr{A}}\theta,\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta\cdot\mathscr{N},\phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)} \tag{4.29}$$

Evaluating (4.25) at $t=0$ and employing (4.29), we have that

$$(\partial_t\theta^m(0),\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} = \left(\Delta_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta^m(0) + F^3(0),\phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0}, \tag{4.30}$$

for all $\phi\in\mathscr{H}^1_m(t)$.

By virtue of (4.24), we have that

$$\partial_t\theta^m - \partial_t K(t)J(t)\theta^m(t) = \dot{d}_j^m(t)\phi^j(t) \in \mathscr{H}^1_m(t), \tag{4.31}$$

so that $\phi = \partial_t\theta^m(0) - \partial_t K(0)J(0)\theta^m(0) \in \mathscr{H}^1_m(0)$ is a choice for the test function in (4.30). So using this test function in (4.30), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t\theta^m(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0} &\le \|\partial_t K(0)J(0)\theta^m(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}\|\partial_t\theta^m(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}\\ &\quad + \|\partial_t\theta^m(0) - \partial_t K(0)J(0)\theta^m(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}\|\Delta_{\mathscr{A}_0}\theta^m(0) + F^3(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

Then after using (4.27) and Cauchy's inequality for the right–hand side of (4.32), we have the bound

$$\|\partial_t\theta^m(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0} \lesssim C_1(\eta)\left(\|\theta_0\|^2_{H^2} + \|F^3(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0}\right) \tag{4.33}$$

with $C_1(\eta) = P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\left(1 + \|\partial_t K(0)J(0)\|^2_{L^\infty} + \|\mathscr{A}_0\|^2_{C^1}\right)$.

Step 4. Energy estimates for $\partial_t\theta^m$. Now, suppose that $\phi(t) = c_j^m(t)\phi^j$ for $c_j^m \in C^{0,1}([0,T])$, $j=1,\ldots,m$; it is proved as in (4.31), that $\partial_t\phi - \partial_t K(t)J(t)\phi \in \mathscr{H}^1_m(t)$ as well. Then in (4.25), using this ϕ, and temporally differentiating the result equation, and then subtracting from the result equation (4.25) with test function $\partial_t\phi - \partial_t K(t)J(t)\phi$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\langle \partial_t^2\theta^m, \phi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)^*} + (\partial_t\theta^m, \phi)_{\mathscr{H}^1} + (\partial_t\theta^m\,|\mathscr{N}|\,, \phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)}\\ &= \left\langle \partial_t(F^3+F^5), \phi\right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1)^*} + \left(F^3, (\partial_t KJ + \partial_t JK)\phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \left(F^5, \partial_t KJ\phi\right)_{H^0(\Sigma)}\\ &\quad - (\partial_t\theta^m, (\partial_t KJ + \partial_t JK)\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} - (\theta^m, \partial_t KJ\phi)_{\mathscr{H}^1} - (\theta^m, \partial_t KJ\phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)}\\ &\quad - \int_\Omega (\partial_t JK\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta^m\cdot\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi + \nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}\theta^m\cdot\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\phi + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta^m\cdot\nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}\phi)\, J.\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

According to (4.31) and the fact that $d_j^m(t)$ is twice differentiable almost everwhere as we have pointed in the first step, we use $\phi = \partial_t\theta^m - \partial_t KJ\theta^m$ as a test function in (4.34). Utilizing Cauchy's inequality, trace theory and the Remark 2.3 in [6], we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\partial_t\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 - (\partial_t\theta^m, \partial_t KJ\theta^m)_{\mathscr{H}^0}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\|\partial_t\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^1}^2\\ &\le C_0(\eta)\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 - (\partial_t\theta^m, \partial_t KJ\theta^m)_{\mathscr{H}^0}\right) + C_2(\eta)\|\partial_t\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^1}^2\\ &\quad + C\left(\|F^3\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 + \|F^5\|_{H^{1/2}(\Sigma)}^2\right) + C\|\partial_t(F^3+F^5)\|_{(\mathscr{H}^1)^*}\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

for $C_2(\eta)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}C_2(\eta) : \;=\; &\sup_{0\le t\le T}\big[1 + \|\partial_t(\partial_t KJ)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\partial_t KJ\|_{C^1}^2 + \|\partial_t\mathscr{A}\|_{L^\infty}^2\\ &\qquad + (1+\|\mathscr{A}\|_{L^\infty}^2)(1+\|\partial_t JK\|_{L^\infty}^2)\big](1+\|\partial_t KJ\|_{C^1}^2).\end{aligned}$$

Then according to Cauchy's inequality and Gronwall's lemma, (4.35) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|\partial_t\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 + \|\partial_t\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}_T^1}^2\\ &\lesssim \exp(C_0(\eta)T)\Big(\|\partial_t\theta^m(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 + C_1(\eta)\|\theta^m(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 + \|F^3\|_{\mathscr{H}_T^0}^2\\ &\quad + \|F^5\|_{L^2H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\partial_t(F^3+F^5)\|_{(\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*}^2\Big)\\ &\quad + C_2(\eta)\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 + \int_0^T \exp(C_0(\eta)(T-s))\|\theta^m(s)\|_{\mathscr{H}^1}^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

Now, the energy estimates for $\partial_t\theta^m$ is deduced by combining (4.36) with the estimates (4.27), (4.28) and (4.33),

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\partial_t\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 + \|\partial_t\theta^m\|_{\mathscr{H}_T^1}^2\\ &\lesssim (C_1(\eta)+C_2(\eta))\exp(C_0(\eta)T)\left(\|\theta^m(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2 + \|F^3(0)\|_{\mathscr{H}^0}^2\right)\\ &\quad + \exp(C_0(\eta)T)\left[C_2(\eta)\left(\|F^3\|_{\mathscr{H}_T^0}^2 + \|F^5\|_{L^2H^{1/2}}^2\right) + \|\partial_t(F^3+F^5)\|_{(\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*}^2\right].\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Step 5. Improved estimates for θ^m. Using the $\phi = \partial_t\theta^m - \partial_t KJ\theta^m \in \mathscr{H}^1_m(t)$ as a test function in (4.25), we can improve the energy estimates for θ^m.

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1} + \|\theta^m\|^2_{H^0(\Sigma)}\right) + \|\partial_t\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0}\\ &= (\partial_t\theta^m, \partial_t KJ\theta^m)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\theta^m, \partial_t KJ\theta^m)_{\mathscr{H}^1} + \left(F^3, \partial_t\theta^m - \partial_t KJ\theta^m\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0}\\ &\quad + \left(F^5, \partial_t\theta^m - \partial_t KJ\theta^m\right)_{H^0(\Sigma)} + \int_\Omega \left(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta^m \cdot \nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}}\theta^m + \partial_t JK\frac{|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}\theta^m|^2}{2}J\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Since we have already controlled $\|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_T}$ and $\|\partial_t\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1_T}$, integrating (4.38) in time implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^1} + \|\partial_t\theta^m\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0_T}\\ &\lesssim P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\left(C_1(\eta) + C_2(\eta)\right)\exp(C_0(\eta)T)\left(\|\theta_0\|^2_{H^0} + \|F^3(0)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0}\right)\\ &\quad + P(\|\eta_0\|_{H^{5/2}})\exp(C_0(\eta)T)\Big[C_2(\eta)\left(\|F^3\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^0_T} + \|F^5\|^2_{L^2H^{1/2}}\right)\\ &\quad + \|\partial_t(F^3+F^5)\|^2_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Step 6. Uniform bounds for (4.37) and (4.39). Now, we seek to estimate the constants $C_i(\eta)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$ in terms of the quantity $\mathscr{K}(\eta)$. A direct computation combining with the Lemma A.10 in [6] reveal that

$$C_0(\eta) + C_1(\eta) + C_2(\eta) \le C(1 + \mathscr{K}(\eta)), \tag{4.40}$$

For a constant C independent of η.

Step 7. Passing to the limit. According to the energy estimates (4.37) and (4.39) and Lemma 2.1, we know that the sequence $\{\theta^m\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty H^1$ and $\{\partial_t\theta^m\}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty H^0 \cap L^2H^1$. Then, up to extracting a subsequence, we know that

$$\theta^m \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \theta \,\text{weakly-}*\, \text{in}\, L^\infty H^1,\ \partial_t\theta^m \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \partial_t\theta \,\text{in}\, L^\infty H^0,\ \partial_t\theta^m \rightharpoonup \partial_t\theta \,\text{weakly in}\, L^2H^1,$$

as $m \to \infty$. By lower semicontinuity, the energy estimates reveal that

$$\|\theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^1} + \|\partial_t\theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^0} + \|\partial_t\theta\|^2_{L^2H^1}$$

is bounded from above by the right-hand side of (4.15).

According these convergence results, we can integrate (4.34) termporally from 0 to T and let $m \to \infty$ to deduce that $\partial_t^2\theta^m \rightharpoonup \partial_t^2\theta$ weakly in $(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*$, with an action of $\partial_t^2\theta$ on an element $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^1_T$ defined by replacing θ^m with θ everywhere in (4.34). From passing to the limit in (4.34), it is straightforward to show that $\|\partial_t^2\theta\|^2_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*}$ is bounded from above by the right-hand side of (4.15). This bound shows that $\partial_t\theta \in C^0L^2$.

Step 8. In the limit, (4.25) implies that for almost every t,

$$(\partial_t\theta, \phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + (\theta, \phi)_{\mathscr{H}^1} + (\theta\,|\mathscr{N}|\,, \phi)_{H^0(\Sigma)} = \left(F^3, \phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0} + \left(F^5, \phi\right)_{H^0(\Sigma)} \quad \text{for every}\ \phi \in \mathscr{H}^1. \tag{4.41}$$

For almost every $t \in [0, T]$, $\theta(t)$ is the unique weak solution to the elliptic problem (3.1) in the sense of (3.2), with F^3 replaced by $F^3(t) - \partial_t\theta(t)$ and F^5 replaced by $F^5(t)$. Since $F^3(t) - \partial_t\theta(t) \in H^0(\Omega)$ and $F^5(t) \in H^{1/2}(\Sigma)$, Lemma 3.3 shows that this elliptic problem admits a unique strong solution, which must coincide with the weak solution. Then applying Proposition 3.5, we have the bound

$$\|\theta(t)\|^2_{H^r} \lesssim C(\eta_0)\left(\|\partial_t\theta(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^{r-2}} + \|F^3(t)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}^{r-2}} + \|F^5(t)\|^2_{H^{r-3/2}(\Sigma)}\right) \tag{4.42}$$

when $r = 2, 3$. When $r = 2$, we take the superemum of (4.42) over $t \in [0, T]$, and when $r = 3$, we integrate over $[0, T]$; the resulting inequalities imply that $\theta \in L^\infty H^2 \cap L^2 H^3$ with estimates as in (4.15).

Then for the linear Navier–Stokes equations, the process is exactly the same as [6]. Then we know that (u, p, θ) is a strong solution of (1.8) with the estimates as in (4.15).

Step 9. The weak solution satisfied by $\partial_t \theta$ and $D_t u$. We may integrate (4.34) in time from 0 to T and pass the limit $m \to \infty$. For any $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^1$, we have $\partial_t KJ\phi \in \mathscr{H}^1$, so that we may subsititute $\partial_t KJ\phi$ for ϕ in (4.41); this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle \partial_t^2 \theta, \phi \right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*} + (\partial_t \theta, \phi)_{\mathscr{H}^1_T} + (\partial_t \theta \left|\mathscr{N}\right|, \phi)_{L^2 H^0(\Sigma)} \\
&= \left\langle \partial_t (F^3 + F^5) \right\rangle_{(\mathscr{H}^1_T)^*} + \left(\partial_t JKF^3, \phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}^0_T} - (\partial_t JK \partial_t \theta, \phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0_T} \\
&\quad - \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\partial_t JK \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \phi + \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \phi + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} \phi)\, J
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^1_T$. This is exactly the (4.22). To justify that (4.22) implies (4.20), we may integrate by parts for the equality

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \int_0^T \int_\Omega (\partial_t JK \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \phi + \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \phi + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta \cdot \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} \phi)\, J \\
&= - \int_0^T \int_\Omega (-R \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} u + \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} u) \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \phi J \\
&= (\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} (-R \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} u + \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} u), \phi)_{\mathscr{H}^0_T} - \langle \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} u \cdot \partial_t \mathscr{N} + \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} u \cdot \mathscr{N}, \phi \rangle_{L^2 H^{-1/2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

We then may deduce from (4.22) that $\partial_t \theta$ is a weak solution of (4.20) in the sense of (4.3) with $\partial_t \theta(0) \in \mathscr{H}^0(0)$. Then we may appeal to the computation in [6] to deduce that $p(0)$ satisfies the equation (4.17) and $D_t u$ is a weak solution of (4.21) in the sense of (4.3) with $D_t u(0) \in \mathscr{Y}(0)$. □

4.3. **Higher regularity.**

In order to state our higher regularity results for (1.8), we need to construct the initial data and compatible conditions. First, we define the vector or scalar fields $\mathfrak{E}^{01}$, $\mathfrak{E}^{02}$, $\mathfrak{E}^1$, $\mathfrak{E}^3$ in Ω and $\mathfrak{E}^4$, $\mathfrak{E}^5$ on Σ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{E}^{01}(G^1, v, q) &= \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} v - \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} q + G^1 - Rv, \\
\mathfrak{E}^{02}(G^3, \Theta) &= \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} \Theta + G^3, \\
\mathfrak{E}^1(v, q) &= -(R + \partial_t JK) \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} v - \partial_t R v + (\partial_t JK + R + R^\top) \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} q \\
&\quad + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} (\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} (Rv) - R \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} v + \mathbb{D}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} v), \\
\mathfrak{E}^3(\Theta) &= -\partial_t JK \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} \Theta + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} (-R \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \Theta + \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} \Theta), \\
\mathfrak{E}^4(v, q) &= \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} (Rv) \mathscr{N} - (qI - \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} v) \partial_t \mathscr{N} + \mathbb{D}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} v \mathscr{N}, \\
\mathfrak{E}^5(\Theta) &= -\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \Theta \cdot \partial_t \mathscr{N} - \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}} \Theta \cdot \mathscr{N} - \Theta \partial_t \left|\mathscr{N}\right|,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

and we define functions $\mathfrak{f}^1$ in Ω, $\mathfrak{f}^2$ on Σ and $\mathfrak{f}^3$ on Σ_b by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{f}^1(G^1, v) &= \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}} (G^1 - Rv), \\
\mathfrak{f}^2(G^4, v) &= (G^4 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}} v \mathscr{N}) \cdot \mathscr{N} |\mathscr{N}|^{-2}, \\
\mathfrak{f}^3(G^1, v) &= (G^1 + \Delta_{\mathscr{A}} v) \cdot \nu.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

We write $F^{1,0} = F^1 + \theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3$, $F^{3,0} = F^3$, $F^{4,0} = F^4$ and $F^{5,0} = F^5$. When F^1, F^3, F^4, F^5, u, p, and θ are regularly enough, we can recursively define

$$\begin{aligned} F^{1,j} &:= D_t F^{1,j-1} - \partial_t^{j-1}(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) + D_t^{j-1}(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) + \mathfrak{E}^1(D_t^{j-1}u, \partial_t^{j-1}p) \\ &= D_t^j F^1 - \Big(\partial_t^{j-1}(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) - D_t^{j-1}(\theta\nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3)\Big) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} D_t^\ell \mathfrak{E}^1(D_t^{j-\ell-1}u, \partial_t^{j-\ell-1}p), \qquad (4.47) \\ F^{3,j} &:= \partial_t F^{3,j-1} + \mathfrak{E}^3(\partial_t^{j-1}\theta) = \partial_t^j F^3 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \partial_t^\ell \mathfrak{E}^3(\partial_t^{j-\ell-1}\theta), \end{aligned}$$

in Ω and

$$\begin{aligned} F^{4,j} &:= \partial_t F^{4,j-1} + \mathfrak{E}^4(D_t^{j-1}u, \partial_t^{j-1}p) = \partial_t^j F^4 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \partial_t^\ell \mathfrak{E}^4(D_t^{j-\ell-1}u, \partial_t^{j-\ell-1}p), \\ F^{5,j} &:= \partial_t F^{5,j-1} + \mathfrak{E}^5(\partial_t^{j-1}\theta) = \partial_t^j F^5 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \partial_t^\ell \mathfrak{E}^5(\partial_t^{j-\ell-1}\theta) \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

on Σ, for $j = 1, \dots, N$.

Now, we define the sums of norms with F^1, F^3, F^4 and F^5.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(F^1, F^3, F^4, F^5) := &\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Big(\|\partial_t^j F^1\|_{L^2 H^{2N-2j-1}} + \|\partial_t^j F^3\|_{L^2 H^{2N-2j-1}} \Big) \\ &+ \|\partial_t^N F^1\|_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*} + \|\partial_t^N F^3\|_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{N} \Big(\|\partial_t^j F^4\|_{L^2 H^{2N-2j-1/2}} + \|\partial_t^j F^5\|_{L^2 H^{2N-2j-1/2}} \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Big(\|\partial_t^j F^1\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-2}} + \|\partial_t^j F^3\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-2}} \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Big(\|\partial_t^j F^4\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-3/2}} + \|\partial_t^j F^5\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-3/2}} \Big), \\ \mathfrak{F}_0(F^1, F^3, F^4, F^5) := &\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Big(\|\partial_t^j F^1(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}} + \|\partial_t^j F^3(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}} \Big) \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \Big(\|\partial_t^j F^4(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}} + \|\partial_t^j F^5(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

For simplicity, we will write $\mathfrak{F}$ for $\mathfrak{F}(F^1, F^3, F^4, F^5)$ and $\mathfrak{F}_0$ for $\mathfrak{F}_0(F^1, F^3, F^4, F^5)$ throughout the rest of this paper. From the Lemma A.4 and Lemma 2.4 of [6], we know that if $\mathfrak{F} < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t^j F^1 \in C^0([0,T]; H^{2N-2j-2}(\Omega)), \quad \partial_t^j F^3 \in C^0([0,T]; H^{2N-2j-2}(\Omega)), \\ &\partial_t^j F^4 \in C^0([0,T]; H^{2N-2j-3/2}(\Sigma)), \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_t^j F^5 \in C^0([0,T]; H^{2N-2j-3/2}(\Sigma)) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, \dots, N-1$. For η, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(\eta) &:= \sum_{j=2}^{N+1} \|\partial_t^j \eta\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2j+5/2}}, \\ \mathfrak{E}(\eta) &:= \|\eta\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N+1/2}(\Sigma)} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\partial_t^j \eta\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j+3/2}(\Sigma)}, \\ \mathfrak{K}(\eta) &:= \mathfrak{D}(\eta) + \mathfrak{E}(\eta), \\ \mathfrak{E}_0(\eta) &:= \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^{2N+1/2}(\Sigma)} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\partial_t^j \eta(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j+3/2}(\Sigma)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.50}$$

These following lemmas are similar to Lemma 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 in [6] as well as the idea of proof, so we omit these details here.

Lemma 4.6. *If $k = 0, \dots, 2N-1$ and v, Θ are sufficiently regular, then*

$$\|\partial_t v - D_t v\|^2_{L^2 H^k} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \|v\|^2_{L^2 H^k}, \tag{4.51}$$

$$\|\partial_t(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) - D_t(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3)\|^2_{L^2 H^k} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \|\Theta\|^2_{L^2 H^k}, \tag{4.52}$$

and if $k = 0, \dots, 2N-2$, then

$$\|\partial_t v - D_t v\|^2_{L^\infty H^k} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \|v\|^2_{L^\infty H^k}, \tag{4.53}$$

$$\|\partial_t(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) - D_t(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3)\|^2_{L^\infty H^k} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \|\Theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^k}. \tag{4.54}$$

If $m = 1, \dots, N-1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, and v, Θ are sufficiently regular, then

$$\|\partial_t^j v - D_t^j v\|^2_{L^2 H^{2m-2j+3}} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \left(\|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^2 H^{2m-2j+3}} + \|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2}} \right), \tag{4.55}$$

$$\|\partial_t^j v - D_t^j v\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2}} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2}}, \tag{4.56}$$

$$\|\partial_t^j(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) - D_t^j(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3)\|^2_{L^2 H^{2m-2j+2}} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \left(\|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^2_{L^2 H^{2m-2j+3}} + \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2}} \right), \tag{4.57}$$

$$\|\partial_t^j(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) - D_t^j(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3)\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+3}} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2}}, \tag{4.58}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_t D_t^m v - \partial_t^{m+1} v\|^2_{L^2 H^1} + \|\partial_t^2 D_t^m v - \partial_t^{m+2} v\|^2_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \left(\|\partial_t^{m+1} v\|^2_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \left(\|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^2 H^1} + \|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

Also, if $j = 0, \dots, N$ and v is sufficiently regular, then

$$\|\partial_t^j v(0) - D_t^j v(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \|\partial_t^\ell v(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}}, \tag{4.60}$$

and if $j = 0, \dots, N-1$ and Θ is sufficiently regular, then

$$\|\partial_t^j(\Theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0}) - D_t^j(\Theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0})\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2 \lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta)) \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2 . \tag{4.61}$$

Here all of the $P(\cdot)$ are polynomial, allowed to be changed from line to line.

Lemma 4.7. *For $m = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$, the following estimates hold whenever the right–hand sides are finite:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F^{1,j}\|_{L^2H^{2m-2j+1}}^2 + \|F^{3,j}\|_{L^2H^{2m-2j+1}}^2 + \|F^{4,j}\|_{L^2H^{2m-2j+3/2}}^2 + \|F^{5,j}\|_{L^2H^{2m-2j+3/2}}^2 \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Bigg(\mathfrak{F} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^2H^{2m-2\ell+3}}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell \theta\|_{L^2H^{2m-2\ell+3}}^2\Big) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell p\|_{L^2H^{2m-2\ell+2}}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^\ell p\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+1}}^2\Big)\Bigg),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F^{1,j}\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j}}^2 + \|F^{3,j}\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j}}^2 + \|F^{4,j}\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+1/2}}^2 + \|F^{5,j}\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+1/2}}^2 \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Bigg(\mathfrak{F} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+2}}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^\ell p\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+1}}^2\Big)\Bigg),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t(F^{1,m} - F^{4,m})\|_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*}^2 + \|\partial_t(F^{3,m} + F^{5,m})\|_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*}^2 \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Bigg(\mathfrak{F} + \|\partial_t^m u\|_{L^2H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^m \theta\|_{L^2H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^m p\|_{L^2H^1}^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1}\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^2H^2}^3 + \|\partial_t^\ell \theta\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell \theta\|_{L^2H^2}^3 \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^\ell p\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell p\|_{L^2H^2}^2\Big)\Bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

Similarly, for $j = 1, \dots, N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F^{1,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2 + \|F^{3,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2 + \|F^{4,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}}^2 + \|F^{5,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}}^2 \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta))\Bigg(\mathfrak{F}_0 + \|\partial_t^j \theta(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j}} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\big(\|\partial_t^\ell u(0)\|_{H^{2N-2\ell}} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^\ell \theta(0)\|_{H^{2N-2\ell}} + \|\partial_t^\ell p(0)\|_{H^{2N-2\ell-1}}\big)\Bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

Here all of the $P(\cdot)$ are polynomial allowed to be changed from line to line.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that v, q, G^1, G^3 are evaluated at $t = 0$ and are sufficiently regular for the right–hand sides of the following estimates to make sense. If $j =$*

$0,\dots,N-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathfrak{E}^{01}(G^1,v,q)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-2}}\\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta))\left(\|v\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}}+\|q\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-1}}+\|G^1\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-2}}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{4.66}$$

$$\|\mathfrak{E}^{02}(G^3,\Theta)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-2}}\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta))\left(\|\Theta\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}}+\|G^3\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-2}}\right).\tag{4.67}$$

If $j=0,\dots,N-2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathfrak{f}^1(G^1,v)\|^2_{H^{2N-2i-3}}+\|\mathfrak{f}^2(G^4,v)\|^2_{H^{2N-2i-3/2}}+\|\mathfrak{f}^3(G^1,v)\|^2_{H^{2N-2i-5/2}}\\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta))\left(\|G^1\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-2}}+\|G^4\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}}+\|v\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.68}$$

For $j=N-1$, if $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}(0)}v(0)=0$ in Ω, then

$$\|\mathfrak{f}^2(G^4,v)\|^2_{H^{1/2}}+\|\mathfrak{f}^3(G^1,v)\|^2_{H^{-1/2}}\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta))\left(\|G^1\|^2_{H^2}+\|G^4\|^2_{H^{1/2}}+\|v\|^2_{H^2}\right).\tag{4.69}$$

Here all of the $P(\cdot)$ are polynomial allowed to be changed from line to line.

Now we can construct the initial data and compatible conditions. We assume that $u_0\in H^{2N}(\Omega)$, $\theta_0\in H^{2N}$, $\eta_0\in H^{2N+1/2}(\Sigma)$. Then we will iteratively construct the initial data $D_t^ju(0)$, $\partial_t^j\theta(0)$ for $j=1,\dots,N$ and $\partial_t^jp(0)$ for $j=1,\dots,N-1$. First, we denote $F^{1,0}(0)=F^1(0)\in H^{2N-2}$, $F^{3,0}(0)=F^3(0)\in H^{2N-2}$, $F^{4,0}(0)=F^4(0)\in H^{2N-3/2}$, $F^{5,0}(0)=F^5(0)\in H^{2N-3/2}$ and $D_t^0u(0)=u_0\in H^{2N}$, $\partial_t^0\theta(0)=\theta_0\in H^{2N}$. Suppose now that we have constructed $F^{1,\ell}\in H^{2N-2\ell-2}$, $F^{3,\ell}\in H^{2N-2\ell-2}$, $F^{4,\ell}\in H^{2N-2\ell-3/2}$, $F^{5,\ell}\in H^{2N-2\ell-3/2}$, and $D_t^ju(0)\in H^{2N-2\ell}$, $\partial_t^\ell\theta(0)\in H^{2N-2\ell}$ for $0\le\ell\le j\le N-2$; we will construct $\partial_t^jp(0)\in H^{2N-2j-1}$ as well as $D_t^{j+1}u(0)\in H^{2N-2j-2}$, $\partial_t^{j+1}\theta(0)\in H^{2N-2j-2}$, $F^{1,j+1}(0)\in H^{2N-2j-4}$, $F^{3,j+1}(0)\in H^{2N-2j-4}$, $F^{4,j+1}(0)\in H^{2N-2j-7/2}$ and $F^{5,j+1}(0)\in H^{2N-2j-7/2}$ as follows.

By virtue of estimate, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}f^1&=\mathfrak{f}^1(F^{1,j}(0),D_t^ju(0))\in H^{2N-2j-3},\\ f^2&=\mathfrak{f}^2(F^{4,j}(0),D_t^ju(0))\in H^{2N-2j-3/2},\\ f^3&=\mathfrak{f}^3(F^{1,j}(0),D_t^ju(0))\in H^{2N-2j-5/2}\end{aligned}\tag{4.70}$$

This allows us to define $\partial_t^jp(0)$ as the solution to (3.18). The choice of f^1, f^2, f^3, implies that $\partial_t^jp(0)\in H^{2N-2j-1}$, according to the Proposition 2.15 of [14]. Now the estimates (4.65), (4.60) and (4.66) allows us to define

$$\begin{aligned}D_t^{j+1}u(0)&:=\mathfrak{E}^{01}\left(F^{1,j}(0)+\partial_t^j(\theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}y_{3,0}),D_t^ju(0),\partial_t^jp(0)\right)\in H^{2N-2j-2},\\ \partial_t^{j+1}\theta(0)&:=\mathfrak{E}^{02}\left(F^{3,j}(0),\partial_t^j\theta(0)\right)\in H^{2N-2j-2},\\ F^{1,j+1}(0)&:=D_t^jF^{1,j}(0)-\partial_t^j(\theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}y_{3,0})+D_t^j(\theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}y_{3,0})\\ &\qquad+\mathfrak{E}^1\left(D_t^ju(0),\partial_t^jp(0)\right)\in H^{2N-2j-4},\\ F^{3,j+1}(0)&:=\partial_tF^{3,j}(0)+\mathfrak{E}^3\left(\partial_t^j\theta(0)\right)\in H^{2N-2j-4},\\ F^{4,j+1}(0)&:=\partial_tF^{4,j}(0)+\mathfrak{E}^4\left(D_t^ju(0),\partial_t^jp(0)\right)\in H^{2N-2j-7/2},\\ F^{5,j+1}(0)&:=\partial_tF^{5,j}(0)+\mathfrak{E}^5\left(\partial_t^j\theta(0)\right)\in H^{2N-2j-7/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, from the above analysis, we can iteratively construct all of the desired data except for $D_t^N u(0)$, $\partial_t^{N-1}p(0)$ and $\partial_t^N\theta(0)$.

By construction, the initial data $D_t^j u(0)$, $\partial_t^j p(0)$ and $\partial_t^j\theta(0)$ are determined in terms of u_0, θ_0 as well as $\partial_t^\ell F^1(0)$, $\partial_t^\ell F^3(0)$, $\partial_t^\ell F^4(0)$ and $\partial_t^\ell F^5(0)$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, N-1$. In order to use these in Theorem 4.5 and to construct $D_t^N u(0)$, $\partial_t^{N-1}p(0)$ and $\partial_t^N\theta(0)$, we must enforce compatibility conditions for $j = 0, \dots, N-1$. We say that the j–th compatibility condition is satisfied if

$$\begin{cases} D_t^j u(0) \in \mathscr{X}(0) \cap H^2(\Omega), \\ \Pi_0\left(F^{4,j}(0) + \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}_0} D_t^j u(0)\mathscr{N}_0\right) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.71}$$

The construction of $D_t^j u(0)$ and $\partial_t^j p(0)$ ensures that $D_t^j u(0) \in H^2(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}_0}(D_t^j u(0)) = 0$.

In the following, we define $\partial_t^N\theta(0) \in H^0$, $\partial_t^{N-1}p(0) \in H^1$ and $D_t^N u(0) \in H^0$. First, we can define

$$\partial_t^N\theta(0) = \mathfrak{E}^{02}(F^{3,N-1}(0), \partial_t^{N-1}\theta(0)) \in H^0(\Omega),$$

employing (4.67) for the inclusion in H^0. Then using the same analysis in [6], the data $\partial_t^{N-1}p(0) \in H^1$ can be defined as a weak solution to (3.18). Then we define

$$D_t^N u(0) = \mathfrak{E}^{01}\left(F^{1,N-1}(0) + \partial_t^{N-1}(\theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0}), D_t^{N-1}u(0), \partial_t^{N-1}p(0)\right) \in H^0,$$

employing (4.66) and (4.61) for the inclusion in H^0. And $D_t^N u(0) \in \mathscr{Y}(0)$ is guaranteed by the construction of $\partial_t^{N-1}p(0)$. Combining the inclusions above with the bounds (4.65), (4.68) , (4.66) and (4.67) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{N} \|D_t^j u(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j}}^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^j p(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-1}}^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^j \theta(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j}}^2 \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta))\left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.72}$$

Before stating the result on higher regularity for solutions to (1.8) , we define some quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(u,p,\theta) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^2H^{2N-2j+1}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^2H^{2N-2j+1}}^2\right) + \|\partial_t^{N+1}u\|_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t^{N+1}\theta\|_{(\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^2H^{2N-2j}}, \\ \mathfrak{E}(u,p,\theta) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j}}^2\right) + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1}}, \\ \mathfrak{K}(u,p,\theta) &:= \mathfrak{D}(u,p,\theta) + \mathfrak{E}(u,p,\theta). \end{aligned} \tag{4.73}$$

Theorem 4.9. *Suppose that* $u_0 \in H^{2N}(\Omega)$, $\theta_0 \in H^{2N}(\Omega)$, $\eta_0 \in H^{2N+1/2}(\Sigma)$, *and* $\mathfrak{F} < \infty$. *Let* $D_t^j u(0) \in H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)$, $\partial_t^j\theta(0) \in H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)$ *and* $\partial_t^j p(0) \in H^{2N-2j-1}(\Omega)$, *for* $j = 1, \dots, N-1$ *along with* $D_t^N u(0) \in \mathscr{Y}(0)$ *and* $\partial_t^N\theta(0) \in H^0$, *all be determined in terms of* u_0, θ_0 *and* $\partial_t^j F^1(0)$, $\partial_t^j F^3(0)$, $\partial_t^j F^4(0)$, $\partial_t^j F^5(0)$ *for* $j = 0, \dots, N-1$.

There exists a universal constant $T_0 > 0$ such that if $0 < T \leq T_0$, then there exists a unique strong solution (u, p, θ) on $[0, T]$ such that

$$\begin{gathered}
\partial_t^j u \in C^0\left([0,T]; H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^2\left([0,T]; H^{2N-2j+1}(\Omega)\right) \quad \textit{for}\, j = 0, \ldots, N, \\
\partial_t^j p \in C^0\left([0,T]; H^{2N-2j-1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^2\left([0,T]; H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)\right) \quad \textit{for}\, j = 0, \ldots, N-1, \\
\partial_t^j \theta \in C^0\left([0,T]; H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^2\left([0,T]; H^{2N-2j+1}(\Omega)\right) \quad \textit{for}\, j = 0, \ldots, N, \\
\partial_t^{N+1} u \in (\mathscr{X}_T)^*, \quad \textit{and} \quad \partial_t^{N+1}\theta \in (\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*.
\end{gathered}$$

The pair $(D_t^j u, \partial_t^j p, \partial_t^j \theta)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t(D_t^j u) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}}(D_t^j u) + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}}(\partial_t^j p) - \partial_t^j(\theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} y_3) = F^{1,j} & \textit{in}\, \Omega, \\
\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}}(D_t^j u) = 0 & \textit{in}\, \Omega, \\
\partial_t(\partial_t^j \theta) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}}(\partial_t^j \theta) = F^{3,j} & \textit{in}\, \Omega, \\
S_{\mathscr{A}}(\partial_t^j p, D_t^j u)\mathscr{N} = F^{4,j} & \textit{on}\, \Sigma, \\
\nabla_{\mathscr{A}}(\partial_t^j \theta) \cdot \mathscr{N} + \partial_t^j \theta\, |\mathscr{N}| = F^{5,j} & \textit{on}\, \Sigma, \\
D_t^j u = 0, \quad \partial_t^j \theta = 0 & \textit{on}\, \Sigma_b,
\end{cases} \tag{4.74}$$

in the strong sense with initial data $\left(D_t^j u(0), \partial_t^j p(0), \partial_t^j \theta(0)\right)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$, and in the weak sense with initial data $D_t^N u(0) \in \mathscr{Y}(0)$ and $\partial_t^N \theta(0) \in H^0$. Here the forcing terms $F^{1,j}$, $F^{3,j}$, $F^{4,j}$ and $F^{5,j}$ are as defined by (4.47) and (4.48). Moreover, the solution satisfies the estimate

$$\mathfrak{K}(u,p,\theta) \lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(TP(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right), \tag{4.75}$$

where the constant $C > 0$, is independent of η.

Proof. First, notice that $P(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $P(\cdot)$ throughout this proof is allowed to change from line to line. Theorem 4.5 guarantees the existence of (u, p, θ) satisfying the inclusions (4.12). The $(D_t^j u, \partial_t^j p, \partial_t^j \theta)$ are solutions of (4.74) in the strong sense when $j = 0$ and in the weak sense when $j = 1$. Finally, the estimate (4.15) holds.

For an integer $m \geq 0$, let $\mathbb{P}_m$ denote the proposition asserting the following three statements. First, $(D_t^j u, \partial_t^j p, \partial_t^j \theta)$ are solutions of (4.74) in the strong sense for $j = 0, \ldots, m$ and in the weak sense when $j = m+1$. Second,

$$\partial_t^j u \in L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2} \cap L^2 H^{2m-2j+3}, \quad \partial_t^j \theta \in L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2} \cap L^2 H^{2m-2j+3}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m+1$, $\partial_t^{m+2} u \in (\mathscr{X}_T)^*$, $\partial_t^{m+2}\theta \in (\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*$ and

$$\partial_t^j p \in L^\infty H^{2m-2j+1} \cap L^2 H^{2m-2j+2}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, m$. Third, the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^2 H^{2m-2j+3}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^2 H^{2m-2j+3}}^2 \right) \\
&\qquad + \|\partial_t^{m+2} u\|_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*}^2 + \|\partial_t^{m+2}\theta\|_{\mathscr{H}_T^1}^2 + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+1}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^2 H^{2m-2j+2}}^2 \right) \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(TP(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.76}$$

holds.

We will use a finite induction method to prove that $\mathbb{P}_m$ holds. Theorem 4.5 implies that $\mathbb{P}_0$ holds. Then in the rest of this proof, we will divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. Proving the first assertion. Suppose that $\mathbb{P}_m$ holds for $m = 0, \dots, N-2$. From (4.62)–(4.64) of Lemma 4.7, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F^{1,m+1}(v,q)\|^2_{L^2H^1} + \|F^{3,m+1}(\Theta)\|^2_{L^2H^1} + \|F^{4,m+1}(v,q)\|^2_{L^2H^{3/2}} \\
&\quad + \|F^{5,m+1}(\Theta)\|^2_{L^2H^{3/2}} \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Bigg(\mathfrak{F} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m}\left(\|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^2H^3} + \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^2_{L^2H^3}\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m}\left(\|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell q\|^2_{L^2H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell q\|^2_{L^\infty H^1}\right)\Bigg),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F^{1,m+1}(v,q)\|^2_{L^\infty H^0} + \|F^{3,m+1}(\Theta)\|^2_{L^\infty H^0} + \|F^{4,j}(v,q)\|^2_{L^\infty H^{1/2}} \\
&\quad + \|F^{5,j}(\Theta)\|^2_{L^\infty H^{1/2}} \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Bigg(\mathfrak{F} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m}\left(\|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell q\|^2_{L^\infty H^1}\right)\Bigg),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.78}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t(F^{1,m+1}(v,q) - F^{4,m+1}(v,q))\|^2_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t(F^{3,m+1}(\Theta) - F^{5,m+1}(\Theta))\|^2_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*} \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Bigg(\mathfrak{F} + \|\partial_t^{m+1} v\|^2_{L^2H^2} + \|\partial_t^{m+1}\Theta\|^2_{L^2H^2} + \|\partial_t^{m+1} q\|^2_{L^2H^1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m}\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell v\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell v\|^3_{L^2H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^2} + \|\partial_t^\ell \Theta\|^3_{L^2H^2} \\
&\quad + \|\partial_t^\ell q\|^2_{L^\infty H^1} + \|\partial_t^\ell q\|^2_{L^2H^2}\Big)\Bigg).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.79}$$

Now we will use the iteration method. We let u^0 be the extension of the initial data $\partial_t^j u(0)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, given by Lemma A.5 in [6], which may also give θ^0, the extension of the initial data $\partial_t^j \theta(0)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, and similarly let p^0 be the extension of $\partial_t^j p(0)$, $j = 1, \dots, N-1$, given by Lemma A.6 in [6]. By (4.72) and the estimates given in the Lemma A.5 and Lemma A.6 in [6], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{N}\left(\|\partial_t^j u^0\|^2_{L^2H^{2N-2j+1}} + \|\partial_t^j u^0\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j}} + \|\partial_t^j \theta^0\|^2_{L^2H^{2N-2j+1}} + \|\partial_t^j \theta^0\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j}}\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\|\partial_t^j p^0\|^2_{L^2H^{2N-2j}} + \|\partial_t^j p^0\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1}}\right) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{N}\|D_t^j u(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\|\partial_t^j p(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{N}\|\partial_t^j \theta(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}} \\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta))\left(\|u_0\|^2_{H^{2N}} + \|\theta_0\|^2_{H^{2N}} + \mathfrak{F}_0\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.80}$$

According to (4.77)–(4.80), we may derive that $F^{1,m+1}(u^0,p^0)$, $F^{3,m+1}(\theta^0)$, $F^{4,m+1}(u^0,p^0)$ and $F^{5,m+1}(\theta^0)$ satisfy (4.11). Also the compatibility condition (4.13) with F^4 replaced by $F^{4,m+1}(u^0,p^0)$ and u_0 replaced by $D_t^{m+1}u(0)$ holds by (4.71) since u^0 and p^0 achieve the initial data. Then we can apply Theorem 4.5 to find a pair (v^1,q^1,Θ^1) satisfying the conclusions of the theorem. For simplicity, we abbreviate (1.8) as $\mathcal{L}(v,q,\Theta)=\mathbb{F}=(F^1,F^3,F^4,F^5)$. Then

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{L}(v^1,q^1,\Theta^1)=\mathbb{F}^{m+1}:=(F^{1,m+1}(u^0,p^0),F^{3,m+1}(\theta^0),F^{4,m+1}(u^0,p^0),F^{5,m+1}(\theta^0)),\\ v^1(0)=D_t^{m+1}u(0),\quad q^1(0)=\partial_t^{m+1}p(0),\quad \Theta^1(0)=\partial_t^{m+1}\theta(0).\end{gathered}$$

If we denote the left–hand side of (4.15) as $\mathfrak{B}(u,p,\theta)$, then we may combine (4.15), (4.65), (4.77), (4.79) and (4.80) to derive that

$$\mathfrak{B}(v^1,q^1,\Theta^1)\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2+\|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2+\mathfrak{F}_0+\mathfrak{F}\right).$$

Now, suppose that (v^n,q^n,Θ^n) is given and satisfies $\mathfrak{B}(v^n,q^n,\Theta^n)<\infty$, we define (u^n,p^n,θ^n) which satisfies the ODEs

$$\begin{cases}D_t^{m+1}u^n=v^n,\\ \partial_t^j u^n(0)=v^n(0)\quad \text{for}\, j=0,\dots,m,\end{cases}\tag{4.81}$$

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^{m+1}p^n=q^n,\\ \partial_t^j p^n(0)=q^n(0)\quad \text{for}\, j=0,\dots,m,\end{cases}\tag{4.82}$$

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t^{m+1}\theta^n=\Theta^n,\\ \partial_t^j \theta^n(0)=\Theta^n(0)\quad \text{for}\, j=0,\dots,m.\end{cases}\tag{4.83}$$

From the wellposedness theory of linear ODEs, we know that these ODEs have unique solutions. If we define $\mathfrak{K}(v,q,\Theta)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{K}(v,q,\Theta):=&\ \|\partial_t^{m+1}v\|_{L^2H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}q\|_{L^2H^1}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}\Theta\|_{L^2H^2}^2+\sum_{\ell=0}^m\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell v\|_{L^2H^3}^2\\ &+\|\partial_t^\ell v\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^\ell\Theta\|_{L^2H^3}^2+\|\partial_t^\ell\Theta\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^\ell q\|_{L^2H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^\ell q\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2\Big),\end{aligned}$$

then the solutions of (4.81)–(4.83) satisfy the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{K}(u^n,p^n,\theta^n)\lesssim P(T)P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Big(&\sum_{j=0}^m\|\partial_t^j u(0)\|_{H^3}^2+\|\partial_t^j p(0)\|_{H^2}^2\\ &+\|\partial_t^j\theta(0)\|_{H^3}^2+T\mathfrak{B}(v^n,q^n,\Theta^n)\Big)<\infty,\end{aligned}\tag{4.84}$$

where $P(T)$ is a polynomial in T.

Applying Theorem 4.5 iteratively, we can obtain sequences $\{(v^n,q^n,\Theta^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{u^n,p^n,\theta^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying (4.81)–(4.83) and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}(v^n,q^n,\Theta^n)=\mathbb{F}^{m+1}(u^{n-1},p^{n-1},\theta^{n-1}),\\ &\qquad v^n(0)=D_t^{m+1}u(0),\quad q^n(0)=\partial_t^{m+1}p(0),\quad \Theta^n(0)=\partial_t^{m+1}\theta(0).\end{aligned}\tag{4.85}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{L}(v^{n+1}-v^n,q^{n+1}-q^n,\Theta^{n+1}-\Theta^n)=\mathbb{F}^{m+1}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1},\theta^n-\theta^{n-1}),\\ &\qquad v^{n+1}(0)-v^n(0)=0,\quad q^{n+1}(0)-q^n(0)=0,\quad \Theta^{n+1}(0)-\Theta^n(0)=0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the terms involving F^1, F^3, F^4 and F^5 are canceled in $\mathbb{F}^{m+1}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1},\theta^n-\theta^{n-1})$, we can use (4.77) and (4.79) to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F^{1,m+1}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1})\|_{L^2H^1}^2+\|F^{3,m+1}(\theta^n-\theta^{n-1})\|_{L^2H^1}^2\\
&\quad+\|F^{4,m+1}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1})\|_{L^2H^{3/2}}^2+\|F^{5,m+1}(\theta^n-\theta^{n-1})\|_{L^2H^{3/2}}^2\\
&\quad+\|\partial_t(F^{1,m+1}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1})-F^{4,m+1}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1}))\|_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*}^2\\
&\quad+\|\partial_t(F^{3,m+1}(\theta^n-\theta^{n-1})-F^{5,m+1}(\theta^n-\theta^{n-1}))\|_{L^2({}_0H^1(\Omega))^*}^2\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\mathfrak{K}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1},\theta^n-\theta^{n-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since, for each n, (u^n,p^n,θ^n) achieves the same initial data, similar to the ODEs (4.81)–(4.83), we have that

$$\mathfrak{K}(u^n-u^{n-1},p^n-p^{n-1},\theta^n-\theta^{n-1})\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))TP(T)\mathfrak{B}(v^n-v^{n-1},q^n-q^{n-1},\Theta^n-\Theta^{n-1}). \tag{4.86}$$

The above two estimates with (4.15) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{B}(v^{n+1}-v^n,q^{n+1}-q^n,\Theta^{n+1}-\Theta^n)\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\\
&\quad\times TP(T)\mathfrak{B}(v^n-v^{n-1},q^n-q^{n-1},\Theta^n-\Theta^{n-1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.87}$$

which implies that there exists a universal $T_0>0$ such that if $T\le T_0$, then the sequence $\{(v^n,q^n,\Theta^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to (v,q,Θ) in the norm $\sqrt{\mathfrak{B}(\cdot,\cdot)}$, which reveals that $\{(u^n,p^n,\theta^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to (u,p,θ) in the norm $\sqrt{\mathfrak{K}(\cdot,\cdot)}$.

By passing to the limit in (4.81)–(4.83), we have that $v=D_t^{m+1}u$, $q=\partial_t^{m+1}p$ and $\Theta=\partial_t^{m+1}\theta$. Then, passing to the limit in (4.85), we have that

$$\mathcal{L}(D_t^{m+1}u,\partial_t^{m+1}p,\partial_t^{m+1}\theta)=\mathbb{F}^{m+1}(u,p,\theta).$$

Then Theorem 4.5 with the assumption of $\mathbb{P}_m$, which provides that $(D_t^{m+1}u,\partial_t^{m+1}p,\partial_t^{m+1}\theta)$ are solutions of (4.74) in the strong sense for $j=0,\dots,m$, enables us to deduce the first assertion of $\mathbb{P}_{m+1}$.

Theorem 4.5, together with the estimates (4.62), (4.79) and (4.76), gives us that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathfrak{B}(D_t^{m+1}u,\partial_t^{m+1}p,\partial_t^{m+1}\theta)\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\big(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2+\|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2\\
&\quad+\mathfrak{F}_0+\mathfrak{F}+\|\partial_t^{m+1}u\|_{L^2H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}p\|_{L^2H^1}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}\theta\|_{L^2H^2}^2\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.88}$$

On the other hand, the estimate (4.56) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t^{m+1}u\|_{L^2H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}p\|_{L^2H^1}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}\theta\|_{L^2H^2}^2\\
&\le T\left(\|\partial_t^{m+1}u\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}p\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}\theta\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2\right)\\
&\lesssim T\left(\|\partial_t^{m+1}u-D_t^{m+1}u\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2+\|D_t^{m+1}u\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}p\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2+\|\partial_t^{m+1}\theta\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2\right)\\
&\lesssim T\Big(P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\sum_{\ell=0}^m\|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2+\mathfrak{B}(D_t^{m+1}u,\partial_t^{m+1}p,\partial_t^{m+1}\theta)\Big)\\
&\lesssim T\Big(P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2+\|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2+\mathfrak{F}_0+\mathfrak{F}\right)\\
&\quad+\mathfrak{B}(D_t^{m+1}u,\partial_t^{m+1}p,\partial_t^{m+1}\theta)\Big),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.89}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used (4.76) again. Combining the above two estimates, we may further restrict the size of universal $T_0 > 0$ such that if $T \le T_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{B}(D_t^{m+1}u, \partial_t^{m+1}p, \partial_t^{m+1}\theta)\\&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.90}$$

Step 2. Proving the second and third assertions. In the following, the second and third assertions will be derived simultaneously. The estimate of (4.90) with Lemma 4.6 and estimate (4.76) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_t^{m+1}u\|_{L^2H^3}^2 + \|\partial_t^{m+2}u\|_{L^2H^1}^2 + \|\partial_t^{m+3}u\|_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*}^2 + \|\partial_t^{m+1}u\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^{m+2}u\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2\\&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{m+2} \|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^2H^{2m-2\ell+3}}^2 + \|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+2}}^2\right)\\&\quad + P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right)\\&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(p(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right)\\&\quad + P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right)\\&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.91}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=m+1}^{m+2} \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^2H^{2(m+1)-2j+3}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2\right) + \|\partial_t^{m+3}u\|_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*}^2\\&\quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+2} \left(\|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^2H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+1}}^2\right)\\&\quad + \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+2} \left(\|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^2H^{2(m+1)-2j+3}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2\right) + \|\partial_t^{m+3}\theta\|_{(\mathscr{X}_T)^*}^2\\&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.92}$$

Thus, in order to derive the second and third assertions of $\mathbb{P}_{m+1}$, it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^2H^{2(m+1)-2j+3}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^2H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^2H^{2(m+1)-2j+3}}^2\right)\\&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+1}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2\right)\\&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.93}$$

In order to prove this estimate, we will use the elliptic regularity of Proposition 3.5 with $k = 2N$ and iteration argument. As the first step, we need the estimates for the forcing terms. Combining (4.76) with the estimates (4.62) and (4.63) of Lemma 4.7

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{m+1}\Big(\|F^{1,j}\|^2_{L^2H^{2m-2j+1}}+\|F^{3,j}\|^2_{L^2H^{2m-2j+1}}+\|F^{4,j}\|^2_{L^2H^{2m-2j+3/2}}\\
&\quad+\|F^{5,j}\|^2_{L^2H^{2m-2j+3/2}}+\|F^{1,j}\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j}}+\|F^{3,j}\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j}}\\
&\quad+\|F^{4,j}\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+1/2}}+\|F^{5,j}\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2j+1/2}}\Big)\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\Big(\mathfrak{F}+\sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell u\|^2_{L^2H^{2m-2\ell+3}}+\|\partial_t^\ell\theta\|^2_{L^2H^{2m-2\ell+3}}\Big)\\
&\quad+\sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1}\Big(\|\partial_t^\ell u\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+2}}+\|\partial_t^\ell\theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+2}}+\|\partial_t^\ell p\|^2_{L^2H^{2m-2\ell+2}}\\
&\quad+\|\partial_t^\ell p\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2m-2\ell+1}}\Big)\Big)\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\left(\|u_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\|\theta_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\mathfrak{F}_0+\mathfrak{F}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.94}$$

The estimates of (4.90) , (4.76) as well as (4.51), (4.53) of Lemma 4.6, allow us to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t D_t^m u\|^2_{L^\infty H^2}+\|\partial_t D_t^m u\|^2_{L^2H^3}\\
&\lesssim\|\partial_t D_t^m u-D_t^{m+1}u\|^2_{L^\infty H^2}+\|\partial_t D_t^m u-D_t^{m+1}u\|^2_{L^2H^3}\\
&\quad+\|D_t^{m+1}u\|^2_{L^\infty H^2}+\|D_t^{m+1}u\|^2_{L^2H^3}\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\left(\|D_t^m u\|^2_{L^\infty H^2}+\|D_t^m u\|^2_{L^2H^3}\right)+\|D_t^{m+1}u\|^2_{L^\infty H^2}+\|D_t^{m+1}u\|^2_{L^2H^3}\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\left(\|u_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\|\theta_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\mathfrak{F}_0+\mathfrak{F}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.95}$$

Since (4.74) is satisfied in the strong sense for $j=m$, for almost $t\in[0,T]$, $(D_t^m u,\partial_t^m p,\partial_t^m\theta)$ solves elliptic system (3.1) with F^1 replaced by $F^{1,m}-\partial_t D_t^m u$, $F^2=0$, F^3 replaced by $F^{3,m}-\partial_t(\partial_t^m\theta)$ and F^4, F^5 replaced by $F^{4,m}$, $F^{5,m}$, respectively. Then, we apply Proposition 3.5 with $r=5$, then square the resulting estimate and integrate over $[0,T]$, to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D_t^m u\|^2_{L^2H^5}+\|\partial_t^m p\|^2_{L^2H^4}+\|\partial_t^m\theta\|^2_{L^2H^5}\\
&\lesssim\|F^{1,m}-\partial_t D_t^m u\|^2_{L^2H^3}+\|F^{3,m}-\partial_t(\partial_t^m\theta)\|^2_{L^2H^3}\\
&\quad+\|F^{4,m}\|^2_{L^2H^{7/2}}+\|F^{5,m}\|^2_{L^2H^{7/2}}\\
&\lesssim\|F^{1,m}\|^2_{L^2H^3}+\|\partial_t D_t^m u\|^2_{L^2H^3}+\|F^{3,m}\|^2_{L^2H^3}+\|\partial_t(\partial_t^m\theta)\|^2_{L^2H^3}\\
&\quad+\|F^{4,m}\|^2_{L^2H^{7/2}}+\|F^{5,m}\|^2_{L^2H^{7/2}}\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\left(\|u_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\|\theta_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\mathfrak{F}_0+\mathfrak{F}\right),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.96}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used (4.90), (4.94) and (4.95). Similarly, Proposition 3.5 with $r=4$ reveals that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D_t^m u\|^2_{L^\infty H^4}+\|\partial_t^m p\|^2_{L^\infty H^3}+\|\partial_t^m\theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^4}\\
&\lesssim\|F^{1,m}-\partial_t D_t^m u\|^2_{L^\infty H^2}+\|F^{3,m}-\partial_t(\partial_t^m\theta)\|^2_{L^\infty H^2}\\
&\quad+\|F^{4,m}\|^2_{L^\infty H^{5/2}}+\|F^{5,m}\|^2_{L^\infty H^{5/2}}\\
&\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta),\mathfrak{K}(\eta))\exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right)\left(\|u_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\|\theta_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}+\mathfrak{F}_0+\mathfrak{F}\right).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.97}$$

By iterating to estimate $\partial_t^j u$, $\partial_t^j p$ and $\partial_t^j \theta$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$, as well as the above two estimates, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_t^m u\|_{L^\infty H^4}^2 + \|\partial_t^m u\|_{L^2 H^5}^2 \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^m \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)-2j+3}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)-2j+3}}^2\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\|\partial_t^j u\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j p\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+1}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)-2j+2}}^2\right) \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.98}$$

Then we apply Proposition 3.5 with $r = 2(m+1) + 3 \leq 2N + 1$, square the result estimate and integrate over $[0, T]$ to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+3}}^2 + \|p\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+2}}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+3}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|F^1 - \partial_t u\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+1}}^2 + \|F^3 - \partial_t \theta\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+1}}^2 \\ &\quad + \|F^4\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+3/2}}^2 + \|F^5\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+3/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|F^1\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+1}}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+1}}^2 + \|F^3\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+1}}^2 + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+1}}^2 \\ &\quad + \|F^4\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+3/2}}^2 + \|F^5\|_{L^2 H^{2(m+1)+3/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.99}$$

and then again with $r = 2(m+1) + 2 \leq 2N$ to see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)+2}}^2 + \|p\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)+1}}^2 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)+2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|F^1 - \partial_t u\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)}}^2 + \|F^3 - \partial_t \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)}}^2 \\ &\quad + \|F^4\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)+1/2}}^2 + \|F^5\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)+1/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|F^1\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)}}^2 + \|\partial_t u\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)}}^2 + \|F^3\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)}}^2 + \|\partial_t \theta\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)}}^2 \\ &\quad + \|F^4\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)+1/2}}^2 + \|F^5\|_{L^\infty H^{2(m+1)+1/2}}^2 \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta), \mathfrak{K}(\eta)) \exp\left(P(\mathfrak{E}(\eta))T\right) \left(\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \|\theta_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2 + \mathfrak{F}_0 + \mathfrak{F}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{4.100}$$

Thus (4.93) is obtained by summing (4.98)–(4.100). This completes the proof. □

5. Preliminaries for the nonlinear problem

In order to use linear theory for the problem (1.8) to solve the nonlinear problem (1.5), we have to define forcing terms F^1, F^3, F^4, F^5 to be used in the linear estimates. Given u, θ, η, we define

$$\begin{aligned}&F^1(u, \theta, \eta) = \partial_t \bar{\eta}(1 + x_3) K \partial_3 u - u \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} u \quad \text{and} \quad F^4(u, \theta, \eta) = \eta \mathscr{N}, \\ &F^3(u, \theta, \eta) = \partial_t \bar{\eta}(1 + x_3) K \partial_3 \theta - u \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}} \theta \quad \text{and} \quad F^5(u, \theta, \eta) = -\left|\mathscr{N}\right|,\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathscr{A}$, $\mathscr{N}$, K are determined as before by η. Then we define the quantities $\mathfrak{K}_N(u,\theta)$ and $\mathfrak{K}_N(u)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{K}_N(u,\theta) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \Big(&\|\partial_t^j u\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2j+1}} + \|\partial_t^j u\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j}} \\ &+ \|\partial_t^j \theta\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2j+1}} + \|\partial_t^j \theta\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j}} \Big),\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{K}_N(u) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \Big(\|\partial_t^j u\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2j+1}} + \|\partial_t^j u\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j}} \Big). \tag{5.3}$$

5.1. **Initial data estimates.** Since η is unknown for the full nonlinear problem, and its evolution is coupled to that of u, p and θ, we must reconstruct the initial data to contain this coupling, only with u_0, θ_0 and η_0. Here we will define some quantities which have minor difference from [6].

$$\mathscr{E}_0 := \|u_0\|^2_{H^{2N}} + \|\theta_0\|^2_{H^{2N}} + \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^{2N+1/2}}, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{E}_0(u,p,\theta) := \sum_{j=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^j u(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}} + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\partial_t^j p(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j-1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{N} \|\partial_t^j \theta(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}}. \tag{5.5}$$

For $j = 0, \dots, N-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{F}_0^j(F^1(u,p,\theta), F^3(u,p,\theta), F^4(u,p,\theta), F^5(u,p,\theta)) \\ &:= \sum_{\ell=0}^{j} \Big(\|\partial_t^\ell F^1(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell-2}} + \|\partial_t^\ell F^3(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell-2}} + \|\partial_t^\ell F^4(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell-3/2}} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t^\ell F^5(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell-3/2}} \Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_0^0(\eta) := \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^{2N+1/2}}, \tag{5.7}$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\mathfrak{E}_0^j(\eta) := \|\eta_0\|^2_{H^{2N+1/2}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{j} \|\partial_t^\ell \eta(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell+3/2}}. \tag{5.8}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}_0^0(u,p,\theta) := \|u_0\|^2_{H^{2N}} + \|\theta_0\|^2_{H^{2N}}, \tag{5.9}$$

and for $j = 1, \dots, N$,

$$\mathfrak{E}_0^j(u,p,\theta) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{j} \|\partial_t^\ell u(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell}} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} \|\partial_t^\ell p\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell-1}} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j} \|\partial_t^\ell \theta(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2\ell}}. \tag{5.10}$$

The following lemma is a minor modification of Lemma 5.2 in [6], so we omit the details of proof.

Lemma 5.1. *For $j = 0, \dots, N$,*

$$\|\partial_t^j u(0) - D_t^j u(0)\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}} \le P_j(\mathfrak{E}_0^j(\eta), \mathfrak{E}_0^j(u,p,\theta)) \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\|\partial_t^j(\theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0}) - D_t^j(\theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0})\|^2_{H^{2N-2j}} \le P_j(\mathfrak{E}_0^j(\eta), \mathfrak{E}_0^j(u,p,\theta)) \tag{5.12}$$

for $P_j(\cdot,\cdot)$ a polynomial such that $P_j(0,0)=0$.

For $F^1(u,\theta,\eta)$, $F^3(u,\theta,\eta)$, $F^4(u,\theta,\eta)$ and $F^5(u,\theta,\eta)$ defined by (5.1) *and $j=0,\dots,N-1$, we have that*

$$\mathfrak{F}_0^j(F^1(u,p,\theta),F^3(u,p,\theta),F^4(u,p,\theta),F^5(u,p,\theta))\le P_j(\mathfrak{E}_0^{j+1}(\eta),\mathfrak{E}_0^j(u,p,\theta)) \tag{5.13}$$

for $P_j(\cdot,\cdot)$ a polynomial such that $P_j(0,0)=0$.

For $j=1,\dots,N-1$, let $F^{1,j}(0)$, $F^{3,j}(0)$, $F^{4,j}(0)$ and $F^{5,j}(0)$ are determined by (4.47), (4.48) *and* (5.1). *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|F^{1,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2+\|F^{3,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2\\&\quad+\|F^{4,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}}^2+\|F^{5,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}}^2\\&\le P_j(\mathfrak{E}_0^{j+1}(\eta),\mathfrak{E}_0^j(u,p,\theta))\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

for $P_j(\cdot,\cdot)$ a polynomial such that $P_j(0,0)=0$.

For $j=1,\dots,N-1$,

$$\left\|\sum_{\ell=0}^{j}\binom{j}{\ell}\partial_t^\ell\mathscr{N}(0)\cdot\partial_t^{j-\ell}u(0)\right\|_{H^{2N-2j+3/2}}^2\le P_j(\mathfrak{E}_0^j(\eta),\mathfrak{E}_0^j(u,p,\theta)) \tag{5.15}$$

for $P_j(\cdot,\cdot)$ a polynomial such that $P_j(0,0)=0$. Also,

$$\|u_0\cdot\mathscr{N}_0\|_{H^{2N-1/2}(\Sigma)}^2\le\|u_0\|_{H^{2N}}^2\left(1+\|\eta_0\|_{H^{2N+1/2}}^2\right). \tag{5.16}$$

This lemma allows us to construct all of the initial data $\partial_t^j u(0)$, $\partial_t^j\theta(0)$, $\partial_t^j\eta(0)$ for $j=0,\dots,N$ and $\partial_t^j p(0)$ for $j=0,\dots,N-1$.

Assume that $\mathscr{E}_0<\infty$. As before, we will iteratively construct the initial data, but this time we will use Lemma 5.1. We define $\partial_t\eta(0)=u_0\cdot\mathscr{N}_0$, where $u_0\in H^{2N-1/2}(\Sigma)$, and $\mathscr{N}_0$ is determined by η_0. (5.16) implies that $\|\partial_t\eta(0)\|_{H^{2N-1/2}}^2\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0)$ for a polynomial $P(\cdot)$ such that $P(0)=0$, and hence that $\mathfrak{E}_0^0(u,p,\theta)+\mathfrak{E}_0^1(\eta)\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0)$. Then (5.13) with $j=0$ implies that

$$\mathfrak{F}_0^0(F^1(u,p,\theta),F^3(u,p,\theta),F^4(u,p,\theta),F^5(u,p,\theta))\le P_0(\mathfrak{E}_0^0(\eta),\mathfrak{E}_0^0(u,p,\theta))\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) \tag{5.17}$$

for a polynomial $P(\cdot)$ such that $P(0)=0$. Note that in these estimates and in the estimates below, the polynomial $P(\cdot)$ of $\mathscr{E}_0$ are allowed to change from line to line, but they always satisfy $P(0)=0$.

In this paragraph, we will give the iterative definition of $\partial_t^j p(0)$, $\partial_t^{j+1}u(0)$, $\partial_t^{j+1}\theta(0)$ and $\partial_t^{j+2}\eta(0)$ for $0\le j\le N-2$. Now suppose that $\partial_t^\ell u(0)$, $\partial_t^\ell\theta(0)$ are known for $\ell=0,\dots,j$, $\partial_t^\ell\eta(0)$ is known for $\ell=0,\dots,j+1$, $\partial_t^\ell p(0)$ is known for $\ell=0,\dots,j-1$ (with the exception for $p(0)$ when $j=0$) and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{E}_0^j(u,p,\theta)+\mathfrak{E}_0^{j+1}(\eta)\\&\quad+\mathfrak{F}_0^j(F^1(u,p,\theta),F^3(u,p,\theta),F^4(u,p,\theta),F^5(u,p,\theta))\\&\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0).\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

And according to (5.14) and (5.11), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|D_t^j u(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j}}^2+\|F^{1,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2+\|F^{3,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2\\&\quad+\|F^{4,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}}^2+\|F^{5,j}(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-3/2}}^2\\&\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0).\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

By virtue of estimates (4.68)

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\mathfrak{f}^1(F^{1,j}(0),D_t^ju(0))\|_{H^{2N-2i-3}}^2+\|\mathfrak{f}^2(F^{3,j}(0),D_t^ju(0))\|_{H^{2N-2i-3/2}}^2\\&\quad+\|\mathfrak{f}^3(F^{1,j}(0),D_t^ju(0))\|_{H^{2N-2i-5/2}}^2\\&\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0)\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

This allows us to define $\partial_t^jp(0)$ as the solution to (3.18) with f^1, f^2, f^3 replaced by $\mathfrak{f}^1$, $\mathfrak{f}^2$, $\mathfrak{f}^3$. The Proposition 2.15 in [13] with $k=2N$ and $r=2N-2j-1$ implies that

$$\|\partial_t^jp(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-1}}^2\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0).\tag{5.21}$$

Now we define

$$\partial_t^{j+1}\theta(0)=\mathfrak{E}^{02}(\partial_t^j\theta(0),F^{3,j}(0))\in H^{2N-2j-2}.\tag{5.22}$$

Then according to (5.18) and (5.19), we have that

$$\|\partial_t^{j+1}\theta(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0).\tag{5.23}$$

Now the estimates (4.66), (5.18) and (5.19) allow us to defined

$$D_t^{j+1}u(0):=\mathfrak{E}^{01}\left(F^{1,j}(0)+\partial_t^j(\theta(0)\nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0}y_{3,0}),D_t^ju(0),\partial_t^jp(0)\right)\in H^{2N-2j-2},\tag{5.24}$$

and then according to (5.11), we have

$$\|\partial_t^{j+1}u(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-2}}^2\le P(\mathscr{E}_0).\tag{5.25}$$

Now the estimates (5.16), (5.18) and (5.25) allow us to define

$$\partial_t^{j+2}\eta(0)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{j+1}\binom{j+1}{\ell}\partial_t^\ell\mathscr{N}(0)\cdot\partial_t^{j+1-\ell}u(0),$$

and imply the estimate

$$\|\partial_t^{j+2}\eta(0)\|_{H^{2N-2j-5/2}}^2\le P(\mathscr{E}_0).\tag{5.26}$$

Thus, (5.18) together with (5.21)–(5.26) imply that

$$\mathfrak{E}_0^{j+1}(u,p,\theta)+\mathfrak{E}_0^{j+2}(\eta)\le P(\mathscr{E}_0),$$

and then (5.13) implies that

$$\mathfrak{F}_0^{j+1}(F^1(u,p,\theta),F^3(u,p,\theta),F^4(u,p,\theta),F^5(u,p,\theta))\le P(\mathscr{E}_0).$$

Hence that we can deduce the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{E}_0^{j+1}(u,p,\theta)+\mathfrak{E}_0^{j+2}(\eta)\\&\quad+\mathfrak{F}_0^{j+1}(F^1(u,p,\theta),F^3(u,p,\theta),F^4(u,p,\theta),F^5(u,p,\theta))\\&\le P(\mathscr{E}_0).\end{aligned}$$

For $j=N-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{E}_0^{N-1}(u,p,\theta)+\mathfrak{E}_0^{N}(\eta)\\&\quad+\mathfrak{F}_0^{N-1}(F^1(u,p,\theta),F^3(u,p,\theta),F^4(u,p,\theta),F^5(u,p,\theta))\\&\le P(\mathscr{E}_0).\end{aligned}\tag{5.27}$$

Then, we only need to define $\partial_t^{N-1}p(0)$, $\partial_t^N\theta(0)$ and $\partial_t^Nu(0)$. Like the construction after Lemma 4.8, we need the compatibility conditions on u_0 and η_0. Now we have constructed $\partial_t^jp(0)$ for $j=0,\dots,N-2$, $\partial_t^ju(0)$, $\partial_t^j\theta(0)$, $F^{1,j}(0)$, $F^{3,j}(0)$, $F^{4,j}(0)$,

$F^{5,j}(0)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$, and $\partial_t^j \eta(0)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N$. We say that u_0 and η_0 satisfy the N-th order compatibility conditions if

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} \cdot (D_t^j u(0)) = 0 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ D_t^j u(0) = 0 & \text{on}\, \Sigma_b, \\ \Pi_0 \left(F^{4,j}(0) + \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}_0} D_t^j u(0) \mathscr{N}_0 \right) = 0 & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \end{cases} \tag{5.28}$$

for $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$, where Π_0 is the projection defined as in (4.14) and D_t be the operator defined by (4.10). Note that if u_0 and η_0 satisfy (5.28), then the j-th compatibility condition (4.71) is satisfied for $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$. Then the construction of $\partial_t^{N-1} p(0)$ is the same as [6] using the compatibility condition (5.28) and the elliptic theory of $\mathscr{A}$- Poisson equations (3.18) derived by Y. Guo and I. Tice in [6] and L. Wu in [13]. And

$$\|\partial_t^{N-1} p(0)\|_{H^1}^2 \le P(\mathscr{E}_0). \tag{5.29}$$

Then we set $\partial_t^N \theta(0) = \mathfrak{E}^{02}(\partial_t^{N-1}\theta(0), F^{3,N-1}(0)) \in H^0$ due to (4.67) and (5.14), and set $D_t^N u(0) = \mathfrak{E}^{01}(F^{1,N-1}(0) + \partial_t^{N-1}(\theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}_0} y_{3,0}), D_t^{N-1} u(0), \partial_t^{N-1} p(0)) \in H^0$ due to (4.66) and Lemma 5.1. And $D_t^N u(0) \in \mathscr{Y}(0)$ is guaranteed by the construction of $\partial_t^{N-1} p(0)$. As before, we have

$$\|\partial_t^N u(0)\|_{H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t^N \theta(0)\|_{H^0}^2 \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0). \tag{5.30}$$

This completes the construction of initial data. Then summing the estimates (5.27), (5.29) and (5.30), we directly have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that u_0, θ_0 and η_0 satisfy $\mathscr{E}_0 < \infty$. Let the initial data $\partial_t^j u(0)$, $\partial_t^j \theta(0)$, $\partial_t^j \eta(0)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N$ and $\partial_t^j p(0)$ for $j = 0, \ldots, N-1$ be given as above. Then*

$$\mathscr{E}_0 \le \mathfrak{E}_0(u, p, \theta) + \mathfrak{E}_0(\eta) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0). \tag{5.31}$$

Here $\mathfrak{E}_0(\eta) = \mathfrak{E}_0^N(\eta)$, which is defined in (4.50).

5.2. **Transport equation.** Here we consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + u_1 \partial_1 \eta + u_2 \partial_2 \eta = u_3 & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \\ \eta(0) = \eta_0. \end{cases} \tag{5.32}$$

The local well–posedness of (5.32) has been proved by L. Wu, which is the Theorem 2.17 in [13]. The idea of his proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.4 in [6]. In [13], L. Wu has proved in Lemma 2.18, that the difference of η and η_0 in a small time period is also small.

5.3. **Forcing estimates.** In the next section for the estimates of full nonlinear problem, we need some forcing quantities. Besides $\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_0$ which have been defined in (4.49), we define the following quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} :&= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left(\|\partial_t^j F^1\|_{L^2 H^{2N-2j-1}}^2 + \|\partial_t^j F^3\|_{L^2 H^{2N-2j-1}}^2 \right) + \|\partial_t^N F^1\|_{L^2 H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t^N F^3\|_{L^2 H^0}^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left(\|\partial_t^j F^4\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1/2}(\Sigma)}^2 + \|\partial_t^j F^5\|_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1/2}(\Sigma)}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} := &\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\|\partial_t^j F^1\|^2_{L^2H^{2N-2j-1}} + \|\partial_t^j F^3\|^2_{L^2H^{2N-2j-1}}\right)\\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\left(\|\partial_t^j F^4\|^2_{L^2H^{2N-2j-1/2}(\Sigma)} + \|\partial_t^j F^5\|^2_{L^2H^{2N-2j-1/2}(\Sigma)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem is similar to Theorem 2.21 in [13] with obvious modification.

Theorem 5.3. *The forcing terms satisfy the estimates*

$$\mathfrak{F} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) + P(\mathfrak{K}_N(u,\theta)), \tag{5.33}$$
$$\mathfrak{F}_0 \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0), \tag{5.34}$$
$$\mathcal{F} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) + P(\mathfrak{K}_N(u,\theta)), \tag{5.35}$$
$$\mathcal{H} \lesssim T\left(P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta)) + P(\mathfrak{K}_N(u,\theta))\right). \tag{5.36}$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.21 in [13], so we omit the details here. □

6. Local well-posedness for the nonlinear problem

6.1. **Construction of approximate solutions.** In order to solve the (1.4), we will construct a sequence of approximate solutions $(u^m, p^m, \theta^m, \eta^m)$, then take the limit $m \to \infty$. First, we construct an initial pair (u^0, θ^0, η^0) as a start point, then we iteratively define all sequences $(u^m, p^m, \theta^m, \eta^m)$ for $m \geq 1$.

Suppose that the initial data (u_0, θ_0, η_0) has given. According to the Lemma A.5 in [6], there exist u^0 and θ^0 defined in $\Omega \times [0,\infty)$ with $\partial_t^j u^0(0) = \partial_t^j u(0)$, $\partial_t^j \theta^0(0) = \partial_t^j \theta(0)$, for $j = 0, \dots, N$, satisfying

$$\mathfrak{K}_N(u^0, \theta^0) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0). \tag{6.1}$$

Then we consider the equation (3.18) with u replaced by u^0. From the Theorem 2.17 in [13], the hypothesis of which is satisfied by (5.31) and (6.1), there exists a η^0 defined in $\Omega \times [0, T_0)$, which satisfies $\partial_t^j \eta^0(0) = \partial_t^j \eta(0)$ for $j = 0, \dots, N$ as well as

$$\mathfrak{K}(\eta^0) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0).$$

Then for any integer $m \geq 1$, we formally define the sequence $(u^m, p^m, \theta^m, \eta^m)$ on the time interval $[0, T_m)$ as the solutions of system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^m - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} u^m + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} p^m + \theta^m \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} y_3^{m-1} & \\ \qquad = \partial_t \bar{\eta}^{m-1}(1+x_3) K^{m-1} \partial_3 u^{m-1} - u^{m-1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} u^{m-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} u^m = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t \theta^m - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} \theta^m = \partial_t \bar{\eta}^{m-1}(1+x_3) K^{m-1} \partial_3 \theta^{m-1} - u^{m-1} \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} \theta^{m-1} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ S_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(p^m, u^m) \mathscr{N}^{m-1} = \eta^{m-1} \mathscr{N}^{m-1} & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} \theta^m \cdot \mathscr{N}^{m-1} + \theta^m \left|\mathscr{N}^{m-1}\right| = -\left|\mathscr{N}^{m-1}\right| & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ u^m = 0, \quad \theta^m = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_b, \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

and

$$\partial_t \eta^m = u^m \cdot \mathscr{N}^m \quad \text{on } \Sigma, \tag{6.3}$$

where $\mathscr{A}^{m-1}$, $\mathscr{N}^{m-1}$, K^{m-1} are determined in terms of η^{m-1} and $\mathscr{N}^m$ is in terms of η^m, with the initial data $(u^m(0), \theta^m(0), \eta^m(0)) = (u_0, \theta_0, \eta_0)$.

In the following, we will prove that these sequences can be defined for any integer $m \geq 1$ and the existence time T_m does not shrink to 0 as $m \to \infty$. The following theorem is a modified version of Theorem 2.24 in [13], which improves the estimate (4.75) using the energy structure and elliptic estimates.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose* $J(0) > \delta > 0$. *Assume that the initial data* (u_0, θ_0, η_0) *satisfy* $\mathscr{E}_0 < \infty$ *and* $\partial_t^j u(0)$, $\partial_t^j \theta(0)$, $\partial_t^j \eta(0)$, *for* $j = 0, \dots, N$, *are given as above from the Proposition 5.2. Then there exists a positive constant* $\mathscr{Z} < \infty$ *and* $0 < \bar{T} < 1$ *depending on* $\mathscr{E}_0$, *such that if* $0 < T < \bar{T}$, *then there exists a sequence* $\{(u^m, p^m, \theta^m, \eta^m)\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ *(when* $m = 0$, *the sequence should be considered as* (u^0, θ^0, η^0)*) satisfying the iteration equation* (6.2) *within the time interval* $[0, T)$ *and the following properties:*

1. *The iteration sequence satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{K}_N(u^m, \theta^m) + \mathfrak{K}(\eta^m) \leq \mathscr{Z} \tag{6.4}$$

   for any integer $m \geq 0$, *where the temporal norm is taken with respect to* $[0, T)$.

2. $J^m(t) \geq \delta/2$ *with* $0 \leq t \leq T$, *for any integer* $m \geq 0$.

Proof. In this proof, we will follow the path of proof of Theorem 2.24 in [13]. We will use an infinite induction to prove this theorem. Let us denote the above two assertions as statement $\mathbb{P}_m$.

Step 1. $\mathbb{P}_0$ case. The only modification here is that the construction of u^0 and θ^0 reveals that $\mathfrak{K}_N(u^0, \theta^0) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0)$. Then the rest proof of this case is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.24 in [13]. Hence, $\mathbb{P}_0$ holds. That is $\mathfrak{K}_N(u^0, \theta^0) + \mathfrak{K}(\eta^0) \leq \mathscr{Z}$ with the temporal norm taken with respect to $[0, T)$ and $J^0(t) \geq \delta/2$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

In the following, we suppose that $\mathbb{P}_{m-1}$ holds for $m \geq 1$. Then we will prove that $\mathbb{P}_m$ also holds.

Step 2. $\mathbb{P}_m$ case: energy estimates of θ^m and u^m. By Theorem 4.9, the pair $(D_t^N u^m, \partial_t^N p^m, \partial_t^N \theta^m)$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(D_t^N u^m) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(D_t^N u^m) + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(\partial_t^N p^m) & \\ \qquad - \partial_t^N(\theta^m \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} y_3^{m-1}) = F^{1,N} & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(D_t^N u^m) = 0 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ \partial_t(\partial_t^N \theta^m) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(\partial_t^N \theta^m) = F^{3,N} & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ S_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(\partial_t^N p^m, D_t^N u^m)\mathscr{N}^{m-1} = F^{4,N} & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(\partial_t^N \theta^m) \cdot \mathscr{N}^{m-1} + \partial_t^j \theta^m \left|\mathscr{N}^{m-1}\right| = F^{5,N} & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \\ D_t^N u^m = 0, \quad \partial_t^N \theta^m = 0 & \text{on}\, \Sigma_b, \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

in the weak sense, where $F^{1,N}$, $F^{3,N}$, $F^{4,N}$ and $F^{5,N}$ are given in terms of u^m, p^m, θ^m, and u^{m-1}, p^{m-1}, θ^{m-1}, η^{m-1}. Then for any test function $\phi \in (\mathscr{H}_T^1)^{m-1}$, where $(\mathscr{H}_T^1)^{m-1}$ is the space $\mathscr{H}_T^1$ with η replaced by η^{m-1}, the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \partial_t(\partial_t^N \theta^m), \phi \right\rangle_* + \left(\partial_t^N \theta^m, \phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}_T^1} + \left(\partial_t^N \theta^m \left|\mathscr{N}^{m-1}\right|, \phi\right)_{L^2 H^0(\Sigma)} \\ = \left(F^{3,N}, \phi\right)_{\mathscr{H}_T^0} + \left(F^{5,N}, \phi\right)_{L^2 H^0(\Sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when taking the test function $\phi = \partial_t^N \theta^m$, we have the energy structure

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega J^{m-1}|\partial_t^N\theta^m|^2 + \int_0^t\int_\Omega J^{m-1}|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(\partial_t^N\theta^m)|^2 + \int_0^t\int_\Sigma |\partial_t^N\theta^m|^2\,|\mathscr{N}^{m-1}| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega J^{m-1}(0)|\partial_t^N\theta^m(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\int_\Omega \partial_t J^{m-1}|\partial_t^N\theta^m|^2 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_\Omega J^{m-1}F^{3,N}\partial_t^N\theta^m + \int_0^t\int_\Sigma F^{5,N}\partial_t^N\theta^m. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

By induction hypothesis, (5.31), trace theory and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^2H^1}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega J^{m-1}|\partial_t^N\theta^m|^2 + \int_0^t\int_\Omega J^{m-1}|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}}(\partial_t^N\theta^m)|^2 + \int_0^t\int_\Sigma |\partial_t^N\theta^m|^2\right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega J^{m-1}(0)|\partial_t^N\theta^m(0)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T\int_\Omega \partial_t J^{m-1}|\partial_t^N\theta^m|^2 + \int_0^T\int_\Omega J^{m-1}F^{3,N}\partial_t^N\theta^m \\ &\quad + \int_0^T\int_\Sigma F^{5,N}\partial_t^N\theta^m \\ &\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) + T\mathscr{Z}\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \sqrt{T}\mathscr{Z}\|F^{3,N}\|_{L^2H^0}\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^\infty H^0} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{T}\|F^{5,N}\|_{L^\infty H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)}\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^2H^{1/2}(\Sigma)} \\ &\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) + T\mathscr{Z}\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \sqrt{T}\|F^{3,N}\|_{L^2H^0}^2 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{T}\mathscr{Z}^2\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \sqrt{T}\|F^{5,N}\|_{L^\infty H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)}^2 + \sqrt{T}\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^2H^{1/2}(\Sigma)}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

for a polynomial $P(0) = 0$. Taking $T \le \min\{1/4, 1/(16\mathscr{Z}^4)\}$ and absorbing the extra terms on the right–hand side into left–hand side imply

$$\|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^2H^1}^2 \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) + \sqrt{T}\|F^{3,N}\|_{L^2H^0}^2 + \sqrt{T}\|F^{5,N}\|_{L^\infty H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)}^2. \tag{6.8}$$

By induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F^{3,N}\|_{L^2H^0}^2 \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta^{m-1}))\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\|\partial_t^j u^m\|_{L^2H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^j\theta^m\|_{L^2H^2}^2\right) + \mathcal{F} \\ &\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) + \mathcal{F}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F^{5,N}\|_{L^\infty H^{-1/2}(\Sigma)}^2 \\ &\lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta^{m-1}))\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\|\partial_t^j u^m\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2 + \|\partial_t^j\theta^m\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2\right) + \mathcal{F} \\ &\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) + \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

And, the energy estimates about u^m is the same as the proof of of Theorem 2.24 in [13]. Therefore, we have

$$\|\partial_t^N u^m\|_{L^2H^1}^2 + \|\partial_t^N\theta^m\|_{L^2H^1}^2 \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) + \sqrt{T}P(\mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) + \sqrt{T}\mathcal{F}. \tag{6.9}$$

Step 3. $\mathbb{P}_m$ case: elliptic estimates for θ^m, u^m. For $0 \le n \le N-1$, the n-th order heat equation is

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t(\partial_t^n \theta^m) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} \partial_t^n \theta^m = F^{3,n} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^{m-1}} \partial_t^n \theta^m \cdot \mathscr{N}^{m-1} + \partial_t^n \theta^m \left| \mathscr{N}^{m-1} \right| = F^{5,n} & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \partial_t^n \theta^m = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma_b. \end{cases} \tag{6.10}$$

The elliptic estimate in the proof of Lemma 3.3 reveals that

$$\|\partial_t^n \theta^m\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n+1}} \lesssim \|F^{3,n}\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n-1}} + \|\partial_t^{n+1} \theta^m\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n-1}} + \|F^{5,n}\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n-1/2}}. \tag{6.11}$$

As what we did before,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F^{3,n}\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n-1}} \\ &\lesssim T P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta^{m-1})) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \|\partial_t^j \theta^m\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1}} + \|\partial_t^j u^m\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1}} \right) + \mathcal{H} \\ &\lesssim T P(\mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) + \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F^{5,n}\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n-1}} \\ &\lesssim T P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta^{m-1})) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-2} \|\partial_t^j \theta^m\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1}} + \|\partial_t^j u^m\|^2_{L^\infty H^{2N-2j-1}} \right) + \mathcal{H} \\ &\lesssim T P(\mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) + \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

But for the term $\|\partial_t^{n+1} \theta^m\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n-1}}$, we estimate backward from $N-1$ to 0. First, when $n = N-1$, this is the case of energy estimate of θ^m. Then we iteratively use the elliptic estimates (6.11) from $n = N-2$ to $n = 0$ to obtain all the control of $\|\partial_t^{n+1} \theta^m\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n-1}}$.

And the elliptic estimate for u^m is the same as the proof of of Theorem 2.24 in [13]. Thereore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(\|\partial_t^n u^m\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n+1}} + \|\partial_t^n \theta^m\|^2_{L^2 H^{2N-2n+1}} \right) \\ &\lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) + \sqrt{T} P(1 + \mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) + \sqrt{T} \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{H}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Step 4. $\mathbb{P}_m$ case: synthesis of estimates for u^m and θ^m. Combining (6.8), (6.12) and Lemma 2.19 in [13], we deduce that

$$\mathfrak{K}_N(u^m, \theta^m) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) + \sqrt{T} P(\mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) + \sqrt{T} \mathcal{F} + \mathcal{H}. \tag{6.13}$$

Then by the induction hypothesis and the forcing estimates of Lemma 5.3, we have that

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{F} \lesssim P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta^{m-1})) + P(\mathfrak{K}_N(u^{m-1}, \theta^{m-1})) \lesssim P(\mathscr{Z}), \\ \mathcal{H} \lesssim T \left(P(\mathfrak{K}(\eta^{m-1})) + P(\mathfrak{K}_N(u^{m-1}, \theta^{m-1})) \right) \lesssim T P(\mathscr{Z}). \end{gathered}$$

Hence we obtain the estimate

$$\mathfrak{K}_N(u^m, \theta^m) \le C \left(P(\mathscr{E}_0) + \sqrt{T} P(\mathscr{E}_0 + \mathscr{Z}) \right) \tag{6.14}$$

for some universal constant $C > 0$. Taking $\mathscr{Z} \ge 2CP(\mathscr{E}_0)$ and then taking T sufficient small which depends on $\mathscr{Z}$, we can achieve that $\mathfrak{K}_N(u^m, \theta^m) \le 2CP(\mathscr{E}_0) \le \mathscr{Z}$.

Step 5. $\mathbb{P}_m$ case: estimate for η^m and $J^m(t)$. These estimates are exactly the same as the proof of of Theorem 2.24 in [13]. So we omit the details here.

Thus, we can take $\mathscr{Z} = P(\mathscr{E}_0)$ for some polynomial $P(\cdot)$ and T small enough depending on $\mathscr{Z}$ to deduce that

$$\mathfrak{K}_N(u^m, \theta^m) \le \mathscr{Z} \tag{6.15}$$

and

$$J^m(t) \ge \delta/2 \quad \text{for}\, t \in [0, T]. \tag{6.16}$$

Hence $\mathbb{P}_m$ holds. By induction, $\mathbb{P}_n$ holds for any integer $n \ge 0$. □

Theorem 6.2. *Assume the same conditions as Theorem 6.1. Then*

$$\mathfrak{K}(u^m, p^m, \theta^m) + \mathfrak{K}(\eta^m) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) \tag{6.17}$$

for a polynomial $P(\cdot)$ satisfying $P(0) = 0$.

Proof. From the estimates (4.75), (5.31), Lemma 5.3 as well as Theorem 2.17 in [13], we directly have that

$$\mathfrak{K}(u^m, p^m, \theta^m) + \mathfrak{K}(\eta^m) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0) + P(\mathfrak{K}_N(u^m, \theta^m) + \mathfrak{K}(\eta^m)).$$

Then, applying the Theorem 6.1, we have that

$$\mathfrak{K}(u^m, p^m, \theta^m) + \mathfrak{K}(\eta^m) \lesssim P(\mathscr{E}_0).$$

□

6.2. **Contraction.** According to Theorem 6.2, we may extract weakly converging subsequences from $\{(u^m, p^m, \theta^m, \eta^m)\}_{m=0}^\infty$. Unfortunately, the original sequence $\{(u^m, p^m, \theta^m, \eta^m)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ could not be guaranteed to converge to the same limit. In order to obtain the desired solution to (1.4) by passing to the limit in (6.2) and (6.3), we need to study its contraction in some norm.

For $T > 0$, we define the norms

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{N}(v, q, \Theta; T) &= \|v\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L^2 H^3}^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^2 H^1}^2 + \|q\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2 + \|q\|_{L^2 H^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\Theta\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2 + \|\Theta\|_{L^2 H^3}^2 + \|\partial_t \Theta\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t \Theta\|_{L^2 H^1}^2 \\ \mathfrak{M}(\zeta; T) &= \|\zeta\|_{L^\infty H^{5/2}}^2 + \|\partial_t \zeta\|_{L^\infty H^{3/2}}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 \zeta\|_{L^2 H^{1/2}}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

where the norm $L^p H^k$ is $L^p([0, T]; H^k(\Omega))$ in $\mathfrak{N}$, and is $L^p([0, T]; H^k(\Sigma))$ in $\mathfrak{M}$.

The next theorem is not only used to prove the contraction of approximate solutions, but also used to verify the uniqueness of solutions to (1.4). To avoid confusion with $\{(u^m, p^m, \theta^m, \eta^m)\}$, we refer to velocities as v^j, w^j, pressures as q^j, temperatures as Θ^j, ϑ^j, and surface functions as ζ^j for $j = 1, 2$.

Theorem 6.3. *For $j = 1, 2$, suppose that v^j, q^j, Θ^j, w^j, ϑ^j and ζ^j satisfy the initial data $\partial_t^k v^1(0) = \partial_t^k v^2(0)$, $\partial_t^k \Theta^1(0) = \partial_t^k \Theta^2(0)$, for $k = 0, 1$, $q^1(0) = q^2(0)$ and*

$\zeta^1(0) = \zeta^2(0)$, and that the following system holds:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v^j - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^j} v^j + \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^j} q^j - \Theta^j \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^j} y_3^j = \partial_t \bar{\zeta}^j (1 + x_3) K^j \partial_3 w^j & \\ \qquad - w^j \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^j} w^j & \mathit{in}\, \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^j} v^j = 0 & \mathit{in}\, \Omega, \\ \partial_t \Theta^j - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^j} \Theta^j = \partial_t \bar{\zeta}^j (1 + x_3) K^j \partial_3 \vartheta^j - w^j \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^j} \vartheta^j & \mathit{in}\, \Omega, \\ S_{\mathscr{A}^j}(q^j, v^j) \mathscr{N}^j = \zeta^j \mathscr{N}^j & \mathit{on}\, \Sigma, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^j} \Theta^j \cdot \mathscr{N}^j + \Theta^j \left| \mathscr{N}^j \right| = - \left| \mathscr{N}^j \right| & \mathit{on}\, \Sigma, \\ v^j = 0, \quad \Theta^j = 0 & \mathit{on}\, \Sigma_b, \\ \partial_t \zeta^j = w^j \cdot \mathscr{N}^j & \mathit{on}\, \Sigma, \end{cases} \tag{6.19}$$

where $\mathscr{A}^j$, $\mathscr{N}^j$, K^j are determined by ζ^j. Assume that $\mathfrak{K}(v^j, q^j, \Theta^j)$, $\mathfrak{K}(w^j, 0, \vartheta^j)$ and $\mathfrak{K}(\zeta^j)$ are bounded by $\mathscr{Z}$.

Then there exists $0 < T_1 < 1$ such that for any $0 < T < T_1$, then we have

$$\mathfrak{N}(v^1 - v^2, q^1 - q^2, \Theta^1 - \Theta^2; T) \le \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{N}(w^1 - w^2, 0, \vartheta^1 - \vartheta^2; T), \tag{6.20}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(\zeta^1 - \zeta^2; T) \lesssim \mathfrak{N}(w^1 - w^2, 0, \vartheta^1 - \vartheta^2; T). \tag{6.21}$$

Proof. This proof follows the path of Theorem 6.2 in [6]. First, we define $v = v^1 - v^2$, $w = w^1 - w^2$, $\Theta = \Theta^1 - \Theta^2$, $\vartheta = \vartheta^1 - \vartheta^2$, $q = q^1 - q^2$.

Step 1. Energy evolution for differences. Like the proof of Theorem 6.2 in [6], we can derive the PDE satisfied by v, q and Θ:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} S_{\mathscr{A}^1}(q, v) - \Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1} y_3^1 = \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} (\mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1 - \mathscr{A}^2)} v^2) + H^1 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} v = H^2 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ \partial_t \Theta - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^1} \Theta = \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} (\nabla_{(\mathscr{A}^1 - \mathscr{A}^2)} \Theta^2) + H^3 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ S_{\mathscr{A}^1}(q, v) \mathscr{N}^1 = \mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1 - \mathscr{A}^2)} v^2 \mathscr{N}^1 + H^4 & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1} \Theta \cdot \mathscr{N}^1 + \Theta \left| \mathscr{N}^1 \right| = - \nabla_{(\mathscr{A}^1 - \mathscr{A}^2)} \Theta^2 \cdot \mathscr{N}^1 + H^5 & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \\ v = 0, \quad \Theta = 0 & \text{on}\, \Sigma_b, \\ v(t = 0) = 0, \quad \Theta(t = 0) = 0, & \end{cases} \tag{6.22}$$

and the PDE satisfied by $\partial_t v$, $\partial_t q$, $\partial_t \Theta$ from taking temporal derivative for the above system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t (\partial_t v) + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} S_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\partial_t q, \partial_t v) - \partial_t (\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1} y_3^1) & \\ \qquad = \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} (\mathbb{D}_{\partial_t (\mathscr{A}^1 - \mathscr{A}^2)} v^2) + \tilde{H}^1 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} \partial_t v = \tilde{H}^2 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ \partial_t (\partial_t \Theta) - \Delta_{\mathscr{A}^1} \partial_t \Theta = \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} (\nabla_{(\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1 - \partial_t \mathscr{A}^2)} \Theta^2) + \tilde{H}^3 & \text{in}\, \Omega, \\ S_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\partial_t q, \partial_t v) \mathscr{N}^1 = \mathbb{D}_{(\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1 - \partial_t \mathscr{A}^2)} v^2 \mathscr{N}^1 + \tilde{H}^4 & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \\ \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1} \partial_t \Theta \cdot \mathscr{N}^1 + \partial_t \Theta \left| \mathscr{N}^1 \right| = - \nabla_{\partial_t (\mathscr{A}^1 - \mathscr{A}^2)} \Theta^2 \cdot \mathscr{N}^1 + \tilde{H}^5 & \text{on}\, \Sigma, \\ \partial_t v = 0, \quad \partial_t \Theta = 0 & \text{on}\, \Sigma_b, \\ \partial_t v(t = 0) = 0, \quad \partial_t \Theta(t = 0) = 0, & \end{cases} \tag{6.23}$$

where H^2, H^4, $\tilde H^2$ and $\tilde H^4$ have been given by Y. Guo and I. Tice in [6],

$$\begin{aligned}
H^1 &= \Theta^2 \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2} y_3^1 + \Theta^2 \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^2}(y_3^1 - y_3^2) + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^2} v^2) - \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2} q^2 \\
&\quad + \partial_t \bar\zeta^1 (1+x_3) K^1 (\partial_3 w^1 - \partial_3 w^2) + (\partial_t \bar\zeta^1 - \partial_t \bar\zeta^2)(1+x_3) K^1 \partial_3 w^2 \\
&\quad + \partial_t \bar\zeta^1 (1+x_3)(K^1 - K^2)\partial_3 w^2 - (w^1 - w^2)\cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1} w^1 - w^2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}(w^1 - w^2) \\
&\quad - w^2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2} w^2, \\
H^3 &= \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2) + \partial_t \bar\zeta^1 (1+x_3) K^1 (\partial_3 \vartheta^1 - \partial_3 \vartheta^2) \\
&\quad + (\partial_t \bar\zeta^1 - \partial_t \bar\zeta^2)(1+x_3) K^1 \partial_3 \vartheta^2 + \partial_t \bar\zeta^1 (K^1 - K^2)\partial_3 w^2 - (w^1 - w^2)\cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}\vartheta^1 \\
&\quad - w^2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\vartheta^1 - \vartheta^2) - w^2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}\vartheta^2, \\
H^5 &= -\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2 \cdot (\mathscr{N}^1 - \mathscr{N}^2) - \Theta^2 \left(\left|\mathscr{N}^1\right| - \left|\mathscr{N}^2\right|\right), \\
\tilde H^1 &= \partial_t H^1 + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2} v^2) + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}\partial_t v^2) + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1}(\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^1} v) \\
&\quad + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\mathbb{D}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1} v) - \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1} q, \\
\tilde H^3 &= \partial_t H^3 + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1}(\nabla_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}\Theta^2) + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\nabla_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}\partial_t \Theta^2) + \operatorname{div}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1} \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}\Theta \\
&\quad + \operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1} \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1}\Theta, \\
\tilde H^5 &= \partial_t H^5 - \nabla_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}\partial_t \Theta^2 \cdot \mathscr{N}^1 - \nabla_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}\Theta^2 \cdot \partial_t \mathscr{N}^1 - \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}\Theta \cdot \partial_t \mathscr{N}^1 \\
&\quad - \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1}\Theta \cdot \mathscr{N}^1 - \Theta \partial_t \left|\mathscr{N}^1\right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can deduce the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac12 \int_\Omega |\partial_t v|^2 J^1(t) + \frac12 \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^1}\partial_t v|^2 J^1 \\
&= \frac12 \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\partial_t v|^2 (\partial_t J^1 K^1) J^1 + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t(\Theta \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1} y_3^1)\cdot \partial_t v J^1 \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_\Omega J^1 (\tilde H^1 \cdot \partial_t v + \tilde H^2 \partial_t q) \\
&\quad - \frac12 \int_0^t \int_\Omega J^1 \mathbb{D}_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1 - \partial_t \mathscr{A}^2} v^2 : \mathbb{D}_{\mathscr{A}^1}\partial_t v - \int_0^t \int_\Sigma \tilde H^3 \cdot \partial_t v, \qquad (6.24) \\
&\frac12 \int_\Omega |\partial_t \Theta|^2 J^1(t) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}\partial_t \Theta|^2 J^1 + \int_0^t \int_\Sigma |\partial_t \Theta|^2 \left|\mathscr{N}^1\right| \\
&= \frac12 \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\partial_t \Theta|^2 (\partial_t J^1 K^1) J^1 + \int_0^t \int_\Omega J^1 \tilde H^3 \cdot \partial_t \Theta \\
&\quad - \int_0^t \int_\Omega J^1 \nabla_{\partial_t \mathscr{A}^1 - \partial_t \mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2 \cdot \nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}\partial_t \Theta + \int_0^t \int_\Sigma \tilde H^5 \cdot \partial_t \Theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Estimates for the forcing terms. Now we need to estimate the forcing terms that appear on the right-hand sides of (6.24). Throughout this section, $P(\cdot)$ is written as a polynomial such that $P(0) = 0$, which allows to be changed from line to line. The estimates for $\|\tilde H^1\|_0$, $\|\tilde H^2\|_0$, $\|\partial_t \tilde H^2\|_0$, $\|\tilde H^4\|_{-1/2}$, $\|H^1\|_r$, $\|H^2\|_{r+1}$, $\|H^4\|_{r+1/2}$, $\|\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)} v^2)\|_r$ and $\|\mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)} v^2 \mathscr{N}^1\|_{r+1/2}$ have been done by Guo and Tice in [6]. So we can directly using them only after replacing ε by $\mathscr{Z}$. By the same method, we can also deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{H}^3\|_0 \lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\big(&\|\Theta\|_2+\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{3/2}+\|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{1/2}+\|\partial_t^2\zeta^1-\partial_t^2\zeta^2\|_{1/2}\\ &+\|w^1-w^2\|_0+\|\partial_t w^1-\partial_t w^2\|_0+\|\vartheta^1-\vartheta^2\|_1+\|\partial_t\vartheta^1-\partial_t\vartheta^2\|_1\big),\end{aligned}\tag{6.25}$$

$$\|\tilde{H}^5\|_{-1/2}\lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\big(\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{1/2}+\|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{1/2}+\|\Theta\|_2\big),\tag{6.26}$$

and for $r=0,1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|H^3\|_r\lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\big(&\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{r+1/2}+\|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{r-1/2}\\ &+\|w^1-w^2\|_r+\|\vartheta^1-\vartheta^2\|_{r+1}\big),\end{aligned}\tag{6.27}$$

$$\|H^5\|_{r+1/2}\lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{r+3/2},\tag{6.28}$$

$$\|\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2)\|_r\lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{r+3/2},\tag{6.29}$$

$$\|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2\cdot\mathscr{N}^1\|_{r+1/2}\lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{r+3/2}.\tag{6.30}$$

Step 3. Energy estimates of $\partial_t v$ and $\partial_t\Theta$. First, owing to the assumption and Sobolev embeddings, we obtain that

$$\|J^1\|_{L^\infty}+\|K^1\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim 1+P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\quad\text{and}\quad\|\partial_t J^1\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}}).\tag{6.31}$$

The bounds of (6.31) reveals that

$$\frac12\int_0^t\int_\Omega|\partial_t\Theta|^2(\partial_t J^1K^1)J^1\lesssim P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\frac12\int_0^t\int_\Omega|\partial_t\Theta|^2J^1.\tag{6.32}$$

In addition, estimates (6.25), (6.26) together with trace theory and the Poincaré inequality reveals that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_\Omega J^1\tilde{H}^3\cdot\partial_t\Theta-\int_0^t\int_\Omega J^1\nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}^1-\partial_t\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2\cdot\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}\partial_t\Theta-\int_0^t\int_\Sigma\tilde{H}^5\cdot\partial_t\Theta\\ &\le\int_0^t\int_\Omega\|J^1\|_{L^\infty}\Big(\|J^1\|_{L^\infty}\|\tilde{H}^3\|_0\|\partial_t\Theta\|_0+\|\nabla_{\partial_t\mathscr{A}^1-\partial_t\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2\|_0\|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1}\partial_t\Theta\|_0\Big)\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\|\tilde{H}^5\|_{-1/2}\|\partial_t\Theta\|_{1/2}\\ &\lesssim\int_0^t P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\sqrt{\mathcal{Z}},\end{aligned}\tag{6.33}$$

where we have written

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}:=&\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{3/2}^2+\|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{1/2}^2+\|\partial_t^2\zeta^1-\partial_t^2\zeta^2\|_{1/2}^2\\ &+\|w^1-w^2\|_1^2+\|\partial_t w^1-\partial_t w^2\|_1^2+\|\vartheta^1-\vartheta^2\|_1^2+\|\partial_t\vartheta^1-\partial_t\vartheta^2\|_1^2\\ &+\|v\|_2^2+\|q\|_1^2+\|\Theta\|_2^2.\end{aligned}\tag{6.34}$$

Combining (6.32), (6.33), (6.24), Poincaré inequality of Lemma A.14 in [6] and Lemma 2.9 in [13] and utilizing Cauchy inequality to absorb $\|\partial_t\Theta\|_1$ into left, yield that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac12\int_\Omega|\partial_t\Theta|^2J^1(t)+\frac12\int_0^t\|\partial_t\Theta\|_1^2\\ &\le P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\frac12\int_\Omega|\partial_t\Theta|^2J^1(t)+\int_0^t P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\mathcal{Z}\end{aligned}\tag{6.35}$$

Then Gronwall's lemma and Lemma 2.9 in [13] imply that

$$\|\partial_t\Theta\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t\Theta\|_{L^2H^1}^2 \le \exp\{P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})T\}\int_0^T P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\mathcal{Z}. \tag{6.36}$$

Then energy estimates for $\partial_t v$ are likely the same as what Guo and Tice did in [6], so we omit the details. The energy estimates for $\partial_t v$ and $\partial_t\Theta$ allow us to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t v\|_{L^2H^1}^2 + \|\partial_t\Theta\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t\Theta\|_{L^2H^1}^2\\
&\le \exp\{P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})T\}\Bigg[P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\|q\|_{L^2H^0}^2 + C\|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{L^2H^{-1/2}}^2 + \int_0^T P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\mathcal{Z}\\
&\quad + P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\|q\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2\Bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{1}\|\partial_t^j\zeta^1-\partial_t^j\zeta^2\|_{L^\infty H^{1/2}} + \|v\|_{L^\infty H^1}\Bigg)\\
&\quad + P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\|q\|_{L^2H^0}^2\Bigg(\sum_{j=0}^{2}\|\partial_t^j\zeta^1-\partial_t^j\zeta^2\|_{L^2H^{1/2}} + \|v\|_{L^2H^1}\Bigg)\Bigg],
\end{aligned}\tag{6.37}$$

where the temporal norm of L^∞ and L^2 are computed over $[0,T]$.

Step 4. Elliptic estimates for v, q and Θ. For $r=0,1$, we combine Proposition (3.5) with estimates (6.27)–(6.30) as well as the bounds of $\|H^1\|_r$, $\|H^2\|_{r+1}$, $\|H^4\|_{r+1/2}$ $\|\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}v^2)\|_r$, $\|\mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}v^2\mathscr{N}^1\|_{r+1/2}$ done in the proof of Theorem 6.2 in [6] to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v\|_{r+2}^2 + \|q\|_{r+1}^2 + \|\Theta\|_{r+2}^2\\
&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|\partial_t v\|_r^2 + \|\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}v^2)\|_r^2 + \|H^1\|_r^2 + \|H^2\|_{r+1}^2 + \|\partial_t\Theta\|_r^2\\
&\quad + \|H^3\|_r^2 + \|\operatorname{div}_{\mathscr{A}^1}(\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2)\|_r^2 + \|\mathbb{D}_{(\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2)}v^2\mathscr{N}^1\|_{r+1/2}^2 + \|H^4\|_{r+1/2}^2\\
&\quad + \|\nabla_{\mathscr{A}^1-\mathscr{A}^2}\Theta^2\cdot\mathscr{N}^1\|_{r+1/2}^2 + \|H^5\|_{r+1/2}^2\Big)\\
&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|\partial_t v\|_r^2 + \|\partial_t\Theta\|_r^2 + \|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{r+1/2}^2\\
&\quad + P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\big(\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{r+3/2}^2 + \|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{r-1/2}^2\\
&\quad + \|w^1-w^2\|_{r+1}^2 + \|\vartheta^1-\vartheta^2\|_{r+1}^2\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.38}$$

Then we take supremum in time over $[0,T]$, when $r=0$, to deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2 + \|q\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2 + \|\Theta\|_{L^\infty H^2}^2\\
&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|\partial_t v\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\partial_t\Theta\|_{L^\infty H^0}^2 + \|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{L^\infty H^{1/2}}^2\\
&\quad + P(\sqrt{\mathscr{Z}})\big(\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{L^\infty H^{3/2}}^2 + \|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{L^\infty H^{-1/2}}^2\\
&\quad + \|w^1-w^2\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2 + \|\vartheta^1-\vartheta^2\|_{L^\infty H^1}^2\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}\tag{6.39}$$

Then we integrate over $[0,T]$ when $r=1$ to find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v\|_{L^2H^3}^2+\|q\|_{L^2H^2}^2+\|\Theta\|_{L^2H^3}^2\\
&\lesssim C(\eta_0)\Big(\|\partial_t v\|_{L^2H^1}^2+\|\partial_t\Theta\|_{L^2H^1}^2+\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{L^2H^{3/2}}^2\\
&\quad+P(\sqrt{\mathscr{X}})\big(\|\zeta^1-\zeta^2\|_{L^2H^{5/2}}^2+\|\partial_t\zeta^1-\partial_t\zeta^2\|_{L^2H^{1/2}}^2\\
&\quad+\|w^1-w^2\|_{L^2H^2}^2+\|\vartheta^1-\vartheta^2\|_{L^2H^2}^2\big)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

Step 5. Estimates of $\zeta^1-\zeta^2$ and contraction. After making preparations in the above steps, we can derive the contraction results. Since this step follows exactly the same manner as the proof of Theorem 6.2 in [6], we omit the details here. Hence, we get the (6.20) and (6.21). □

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Now we can combine Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 to produce a unique strong solution to (1.4). It is notable that Theorem 1.1 can be directly derived from the following theorem, which will be proved in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 6.3 in [6].

Theorem 6.4. *Assume that u_0, θ_0, η_0 satisfy $\mathscr{E}_0<\infty$ and that the initial data $\partial_t^j u(0)$, etc. are constructed in Section 5.1 and satisfy the N-th compatibility conditions* (5.28). *Then there exists $0<T_0<1$ such that if $0<T\le T_0$, then there exists a solution (u,p,θ,η) to the problem* (1.4) *on the time interval $[0,T]$ that achieves the initial data and satisfies*

$$\mathfrak{K}(u,p,\theta)+\mathfrak{K}(\eta)\le CP(\mathscr{E}_0), \tag{6.41}$$

for a universal constant $C>0$. The solution is unique through functions that achieve the initial data. Moreover, η is such that the mapping $\Phi(\cdot,t)$, defined by (1.2)*, is a C^{2N-1} diffeomorphism for each $t\in[0,T]$.*

Proof. Step 1. The sequences of approximate solutions. From the assumptions, we know that the hypothesis of Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 is satisfied. These two theorems allow us to produce a sequence of $\{(u^m,p^m,\theta^m,\eta^m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$, which achieve the initial data, satisfy the systems (6.2), and obey the uniform bounds

$$\sup_{m\ge1}\left(\mathfrak{K}(u^m,p^m,\theta^m)+\mathfrak{K}(\eta^m)\right)\le CP(\mathscr{E}_0). \tag{6.42}$$

The uniform bounds allow us to take weak and weak-$*$ limits, up to the extraction of a subsequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t^j u^m\rightharpoonup\partial_t^j u \quad \text{weakly in } L^2([0,T];H^{2N-2j+1}(\Omega)) \text{ for } j=0,\dots,N,\\
&\partial_t^{N+1}u^m\rightharpoonup\partial_t^{N+1}u \quad \text{weakly in } (\mathscr{X}_T)^*,\\
&\partial_t^j u^m\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}\partial_t^j u \quad \text{weakly}-*\text{ in } L^\infty([0,T];H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)) \text{ for } j=0,\dots,N,\\
&\partial_t^j p^m\rightharpoonup\partial_t^j p \quad \text{weakly in } L^2([0,T];H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)) \text{ for } j=0,\dots,N,\\
&\partial_t^j p^m\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}\partial_t^j p \quad \text{weakly}-*\text{ in } L^\infty([0,T];H^{2N-2j-1}(\Omega)) \text{ for } j=0,\dots,N,\\
&\partial_t^j \theta^m\rightharpoonup\partial_t^j \theta \quad \text{weakly in } L^2([0,T];H^{2N-2j+1}(\Omega)) \text{ for } j=0,\dots,N,\\
&\partial_t^{N+1}\theta^m\rightharpoonup\partial_t^{N+1}\theta \quad \text{weakly in } (\mathscr{H}_T^1)^*,\\
&\partial_t^j \theta^m\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}\partial_t^j \theta \quad \text{weakly}-*\text{ in } L^\infty([0,T];H^{2N-2j}(\Omega)) \text{ for } j=0,\dots,N,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t^j \eta^m \rightharpoonup \partial_t^j \eta \quad \text{weakly in}\, L^2([0,T]; H^{2N-2j+5/2}(\Sigma))\,\text{for}\, j=2,\ldots,N+1,\\&\eta^m \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \eta \quad \text{weakly}-*\,\text{in}\, L^\infty([0,T]; H^{2N+1/2}(\Sigma)),\\&\partial_t^j \eta^m \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \partial_t^j \eta \quad \text{weakly}-*\,\text{in}\, L^\infty([0,T]; H^{2N-2j+3/2}(\Sigma))\,\text{for}\, j=1,\ldots,N.\end{aligned}$$

The collection (v,q,Θ,ζ) achieving the initial data, that is, $\partial_t^j v(0)=\partial_t^j u(0)$, $\partial_t^j \Theta(0)=\partial_t^j \theta(0)$, $\partial_t^j \zeta(0)=\partial_t^j \eta(0)$ for $j=0,\ldots,N$ and $\partial_t^j q(0)=\partial_t^j p(0)$ for $j=0,\ldots,N-1$, is closed in the above weak topology by Lemma A.4 in [6]. Hence the limit (u,p,θ,η) achieves the initial data, since each $(u^m,p^m,\theta^m,\eta^m)$ is in the above collection.

Step 2. Contraction. For $m\geq 1$, we set $v^1=u^{m+2}$, $v^2=u^{m+1}$, $w^1=u^{m+1}$, $w^2=u^m$, $q^1=p^{m+2}$, $q^2=p^{m+1}$, $\Theta^1{=}\theta^{m+2}$, $\Theta^2=\theta^{m+1}$, $\vartheta^1=\theta^{m+1}$, $\vartheta^2=\theta^m$, $\zeta^1=\eta^{m+1}$, $\zeta^2=\eta^m$. Then from the construction of initial data, the initial data of v^j, w^j, q^j, Θ^j, ϑ^j, ζ^j math the hypothesis of Theorem 6.3. Because of (6.2), (6.19) holds. In addition, (6.42) holds. Thus, all hypothesis of Theorem 6.3 are satisfied. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{N}(u^{m+2}-u^{m+1},p^{m+2}-p^{m+1},\theta^{m+2}-\theta^{m+1};T)\\&\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{N}(u^{m+1}-u^m,p^{m+1}-p^m,\theta^{m+1}-\theta^m;T),\end{aligned} \tag{6.43}$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(\eta^{m+1}-\eta^m;T)\lesssim \mathfrak{N}(u^{m+1}-u^m,p^{m+1}-p^m,\theta^{m+1}-\theta^m;T). \tag{6.44}$$

The bound (6.43) implies that the sequence $\{(u^m,p^m,\theta^m)\}_{m=0}^\infty$ is Cauchy in the norm $\sqrt{\mathfrak{N}(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot;T)}$. Thus

$$\begin{cases} u^m\to u & \text{in}\, L^\infty\left([0,T];H^2(\Omega)\right)\cap L^2\left([0,T];H^3(\Omega)\right),\\ \partial_t u^m\to\partial_t u & \text{in}\, L^\infty\left([0,T];H^0(\Omega)\right)\cap L^2\left([0,T];H^1(\Omega)\right),\\ p^m\to p & \text{in}\, L^\infty\left([0,T];H^1(\Omega)\right)\cap L^2\left([0,T];H^2(\Omega)\right),\\ \theta^m\to\theta & \text{in}\, L^\infty\left([0,T];H^2(\Omega)\right)\cap L^2\left([0,T];H^3(\Omega)\right),\\ \partial_t \theta^m\to\partial_t \theta & \text{in}\, L^\infty\left([0,T];H^0(\Omega)\right)\cap L^2\left([0,T];H^1(\Omega)\right),\end{cases} \tag{6.45}$$

as $m\to\infty$. Because of (6.44), we deduce that the sequence $\{\eta^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy in the norm $\sqrt{\mathfrak{M}(\cdot;T)}$. Thus,

$$\begin{cases} \eta^m\to\eta & \text{in}\, L^\infty\left([0,T];H^{5/2}(\Sigma)\right),\\ \partial_t\eta^m\to\partial_t\eta & \text{in}\, L^\infty\left([0,T];H^{3/2}(\Sigma)\right),\\ \partial_t^2\eta^m\to\partial_t^2\eta & \text{in}\, L^2\left([0,T];H^{1/2}(\Sigma)\right),\end{cases} \tag{6.46}$$

as $m\to\infty$.

Step 3. Interpolation and passing to the limit. This section is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 6.3 in[6], which gives the existence of solutions and the estimate (6.41).

Step 4. Uniqueness and diffemorphism. This section is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.3 in[6]. □

7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank professor Yan Guo for several helpful discussions.

References

[1] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions II*, Comm. Pure. Appl. Math., 17(1964), 35–92.

[2] J. T. Beale, *The intial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations with a free surface*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 34(1981), 359–392.

[3] J. T. Beale, *Large–time regularity of viscous surface waves*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 84(1984), 307–352.

[4] S. Chandrasekhar, *Hydrodynamic and hydromagnetic stability*, Dover Publications, INC. New York, (1981).

[5] L. C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2010).

[6] Y. Guo, I. Tice, *Local well-posedness of the viscous surface wave problem without surface tension*, Anal PDE., 6(2013), 287–369.

[7] Y. Guo, I. Tice, *Decay of viscous surface waves without surface tension in horizontally infinite domains*, Anal PDE., 6(2013), 1429–1533.

[8] Y. Guo, I. Tice, *Almost exponential decay of periodic viscous surface waves without surface tension*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 207(2013), 459–531.

[9] K. Iohara, *Bénard–Marangoni convection with a deformable surface*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 38(1998), 255–270.

[10] T. Nishida and Y. Teramoto, *On a linearized system arsing in the study of Bénard–Marangoni convection*, Kyoto Conference on the Navier–Stokes Equations and their applications, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu B1, (2007), 271–286.

[11] T. Nishida and Y. Teramoto, *Bifurcation theorems for the model system of Bénard–Marangoni convection*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. 11(2009), 383–406.

[12] T. Nishida and Y. Teramoto, *Pattern formations in heat convection problems*, Chin. Ann. Math. 30B(6), (2009), 769–784.

[13] L. Wu, *Well-posedness and decay of the viscous surface wave*, SIMA J. Math. Anal., 46(2014), 2084–2135.

[14] Wehausen, J. V., and Laitone, E. V., *Surface Waves*, Handbuch der Physik IX, PP. 446–778, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 1960.

BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: `ruixue@mail.ustc.edu.cn`